

HODGE THEORY OF CLASSIFYING STACKS

BURT TOTARO
NOTES TAKEN BY MASAHIRO NAKAHARA

ABSTRACT. The goal of this talk is to create a correspondence between the representation theory of algebraic groups and the topology of Lie groups. The idea is to study the Hodge theory of the classifying stack of a reductive group over a field of characteristic p , the case of characteristic 0 being well known. The approach yields new calculations in representation theory, motivated by topology.

Let X be a smooth variety over a field k . Let Ω^1 be the cotangent bundle. Then $\Omega^i = \wedge^i(\Omega^1)$ is a vector bundle (\implies coherent sheaf). We have

$$\mathrm{CH}^i X \rightarrow H_{dR}^{2i}(X/k) = H^{2i}(X, 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \cdots)$$

where $\Omega_X^0 = \mathcal{O}_X$, and

$$\mathrm{CH}^i X \rightarrow H^i(X, \Omega^i).$$

If $k = \mathbb{C}$ and X is smooth proper over \mathbb{C} , then Hodge theory says

$$H_{\mathrm{sing}}^j(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H_H^j(X/\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_l H^l(X, \Omega^{j-l}).$$

Example 1. For a field k ,

$$H_H^0(\mathbb{A}^1/k) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_k^1) = k[x],$$

which is ∞ -dimensional as a k -vector space. So Hodge cohomology is not \mathbb{A}^1 homotopy invariant.

Example 2.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{dR}^0(\mathbb{A}^1/k) &= \ker(d : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathbb{A}^1)) \\ &= \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \mathrm{char} k = 0, \\ k[x^p] & \text{if } \mathrm{char} k = p > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So H_{dR} is not \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant in characteristic p .

Question 3. What should $H_{G, \mathrm{Hodge}}^*(X/k)$ be for a variety X with an action of an algebraic group G ?

We take a detour to look at classifying spaces in topology. Let G be a topological group.

Definition 4. The classifying space of G is $BG := EG/G$ where EG is any free contractible G -space.

The homotopy type of BG is well defined. Hence we get invariants of G such as $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Z})$ (If G is a discrete group then $BG = K(G, 1)$). Likewise, we define equivariant cohomology for a G -space X :

$$H_G^*(X) := H^*((X \times EG)/G).$$

Example 5.

- (1) $B\mathbb{Z}/2 = \mathbb{R}P^\infty$.
- (2) $BS^1 = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$.
- (3) $BU(n) = Gr(\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^\infty) \implies H^*(BU(n), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n]$ where c_i are Chern classes which are invariants of \mathbb{C} -vector bundles.

Remark 6. Every compact Lie group G has a complexification $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ that is a \mathbb{C} -reductive group and $BG \rightarrow BG_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a homotopy equivalence, e.g.,

$$(B\mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq BS^1,$$

$$BGL(n, \mathbb{C}) \simeq BU(n).$$

A reasonable definition for Hodge cohomology of BG (G an algebraic group over a number field k) was suggested by Simpson and Teleman. The idea was to use the stack BG . For a scheme X/k a morphism $X \rightarrow BG$ is a principal G -bundle over X :

Definition 7 (in topology). If G is a topological group and X a topological space, then a principal G -bundle E over X is a topological space with free G -action together with a homeomorphism $E/G \xrightarrow{\sim} X$.

A quasi-coherent sheaf on the stack BG is a representation of G (as a group scheme over k). Let $[X/G]$ be the quotient stack for action of G on a scheme X . Quasi-coherent sheaves on $[X/G]$ are G -equivariant quasi-coherent sheaves on X .

Definition 8. The big étale site of a stack Y is the category of schemes X over Y with morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_2 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

It is a covering if f is étale and surjective.

Definition 9. The sheaf Ω^j/k on the big étale site of a stack Y is the sheaf

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Spec } k & \end{array} \right) \mapsto \Omega^j(X/k)$$

This is not a quasi-coherent sheaf on this site because for a morphism $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ over Y , the natural map $f^*\Omega_{X_2}^j \rightarrow \Omega_{X_1}^j$ is not an isomorphism of sheaves in general. So we define Hodge cohomology of a stack Y as $H^i(Y, \Omega^j)$, the cohomology of the big sheaf Ω^j .

Remark 10.

- (1) If Y is an algebraic space, then this is equivalent to the usual cohomology of Y (using the small étale site)
- (2) If Y is a scheme, then $H_{Zar}^i(X, \Omega^j) \simeq H_{et}^i(X, \Omega^j)$.

General fact: Any algebraic stack Y has a smooth surjective morphism $U \rightarrow Y$ with U a scheme (e.g. G a smooth algebraic group, $X \rightarrow [X/G]$). Let E be a big sheaf then $H^*(Y, E)$ is computed by the Čech simplicial space associated to $U \rightarrow Y$:

$$U \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} U \times_Y U \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} U \times_Y U \times_Y U \cdots$$

Example 11. Let $Y = BG$ and $U = \text{Spec } k$. The Čech simplicial scheme BG is

$$\text{Spec } k \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} G \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \longleftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} G^2 \cdots$$

So we get a spectral sequence

$$E^{ij} = H^j(U_Y^{i+1}, E) \implies H^{i+j}(Y, E).$$

Corollary 12. Let G be a smooth affine group scheme over k . Then $H^*(BG, \Omega^\ell)$ is the cohomology of the complex of k -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_k^\ell(\text{Spec } k) \rightarrow \Omega^\ell(G) \rightarrow \Omega^\ell(G^2) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Theorem 13 (Bott). If G is reductive, $\text{char } k = 0$, then

$$H^i(BG, \Omega^j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ S^j(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G .

So $H_H^*(BG/k) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})^G$. This is not surprising: Chern-Weil theory says for G reductive over \mathbb{C} , we have $H^*(BG_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})^G$.

Theorem 14 (Totaro). If G is a smooth affine group over a field k , then

$$H^i(BG, \Omega^i) \simeq H^{i-j}(G, S^j(\mathfrak{g}^*)).$$

Here, for a G -module M , $H^i(G, M) := \text{Ext}_G^i(k, M)$.

This implies Bott's theorem: If G is reductive and $\text{char } k = 0$, then a G -module is a semisimple category. So $H^{>0}(G, M) = 0$.

Example 15. Let V be the standard representation of $GL(n)$ in characteristic 2. Then

$$0 \rightarrow V^{(1)} \rightarrow S^2V \rightarrow \wedge^2V \rightarrow 0$$

is not split as representation of $GL(n)$.

Puzzle: How is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{dR}^*(BG/\mathbb{F}_p) & \text{related to} & H^*(BG_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{F}_p)? \\ G \text{ reductive } / \mathbb{F}_p & \longleftrightarrow & G \text{ reductive } / \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

A guiding result from p -adic Hodge theory:

Theorem 16 (Bhatt, Morrow, Schultz). If X is a smooth projective scheme over \mathbb{Z}_p then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_{dR}^i(X/\mathbb{F}_p) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_{et}^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Although BG is not proper, it often acts as if it were proper.

Calculation: G reductive over k . To study BG , we use the fibration

$$G/B \rightarrow BB \rightarrow BG.$$

We have $\mathrm{CH}^*(G/B) \simeq H_H^*(G/B)$ and $H_H^*(BB) = H^*((\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where $n = \mathrm{rk} G$.

Example 17. *Let $G = SO(n)$ over a field k of characteristic 2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} H_H^*(BSO(n)/\mathbb{F}_2) &= \mathbb{F}_2[w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n], & w_i &\in H^i \\ & & w_{2a} &\in H^a(BSO(n), \Omega^a) \\ & & w_{2a+1} &\in H^{a+1}(BSO(n), \Omega^a). \end{aligned}$$

Example 18. *One has*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} H_{dR}^{32}(BSpin(11)/\mathbb{F}_2) > \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} H_{et}^{32}(BSpin(11)_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{F}_p).$$