## Algebraic Riemann surfaces

## General Problem from Algebraic Geometry

Describe $V=\{(z, w) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}: P(z, w)=0\}$ where $P$ is a (not identically zero) polynomial in 2 variables.

We will show how $V$ is a finite union of Riemann surfaces.
First we may (after swapping $z$ and $w$ if necessary) write

$$
P(z, w)=b_{0}(z) w^{n}+b_{1}(z) w^{n-1}+\ldots+b_{n}(z)=b_{0}(z) \Phi(z, w)
$$

where $b_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0$ and

$$
\Phi(z, w)=w^{n}+a_{1}(z) w^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{n}(z)
$$

where $a_{i}(z)=\frac{b_{i}(z)}{b_{0}(z)}$ are rational functions. If $b_{0}^{-1}\{0\}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots \alpha_{k}\right\}$, then

$$
V=\left(\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times \mathbf{C}\right) \cup \Phi^{-1}\{0) .
$$

To describe $\Phi^{-1}\{0)$, we let $Z=b_{0}^{-1}\{0\}$ and $\rho_{i}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbf{C} \backslash Z$ and $i=1, \ldots, n$, denote the roots, with possible repetitions, of $\Phi(z, w)=0$. The discriminant (with respect to the $w$ variable) of $\Phi(z, w)$ is given by

$$
D(z)=\prod_{i \neq j}\left(\rho_{i}(z)-\rho_{j}(z)\right)^{2}
$$

The relevant algebraic fact we wish to use is (see Lang, Algebra, V, $\S 9-10$ ):

$$
D \equiv 0 \text { iff } \Phi \text { has a repeated root iff } \Phi \text { has a repeated factor . }
$$

For example, $w^{2}-z$ has $D^{-1}\{0\}$ equalling the single point $\{0\}$ while $w^{4}-2 w^{2} z+z^{4}=$ $\left(w^{2}-z\right)^{2}$, which has the same zero set, has discriminant identically 0.

Without changing $V=\Phi^{-1}\{0\}$, we may now assume $\Phi$ has no repeated factors and decompose $\Phi=\Pi_{j=1}^{J} \Phi_{j}$ where the $\Phi_{j}$ are irreducible and distinct. Since $\Phi^{-1}\{0\}=\cup_{j=1}^{J} \Phi_{j}^{-1}\{0\}$, we may, by replacing $\Phi$ by each $\Phi_{j}$ now assume
$\Phi$ itself is irreducible and hence $D \not \equiv 0$.
To show how $V$ is a Riemann surface we will show that $\Pi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \backslash Z$, $\Pi(z, w)=z$, is a holomorphic branched covering map with branch image set $D^{-1}\{0\}$.

A key tool is the construction of a product neighborhood $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}(a) \times \mathbf{B}_{\epsilon}(b)$ about any point $(a, b) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$. First, since $\Phi(a, \cdot)$ has only finitely many zeroes, we find that, for all sufficiently small positive $\epsilon, \Phi(a, w) \neq 0$ whenever $|w-b|=\epsilon$. Then, for all sufficiently small positive $\delta$ depending on $\epsilon$, one still has $\Phi(z, w) \neq 0$ whenever $|z-a|<\delta$ and $|w-b|=\epsilon$. Thus $\Phi$ does not vanish on the set $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}(a) \times \partial \mathbf{B}_{\epsilon}(b)$. Now we can use a formula from complex analysis (Ahlfors, Complex Analysis, 3.3, Th.10) to see that the number of solutions of $\Phi(z, w)=0$ with $|z-a|<\delta$ and $|w-b|<\epsilon$ is

$$
N(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}_{\epsilon}(b)} \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial w}(z, \zeta)}{\Phi(z, \zeta)} d \zeta,
$$

which is continuous, integer-valued, and hence the constant $N(a)$. For $D(a) \neq 0$ and $(a, b) \in V, N(a)=1$ and the arbitrariness of $\epsilon$ shows that the corresponding root $w=\rho(z)$ of $\Phi(z, w)=0$ in $\mathbf{B}_{\epsilon}(b)$ is continuous on $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}(a)$. Moreover, the formula (see Ahlfors, $\S 5.3$ eqn(44))

$$
\rho(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}_{\epsilon}(b)} \zeta \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial w}(z, \zeta)}{\Phi(z, \zeta)} d \zeta
$$

shows that $\rho$ is also holomorphic on $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}(a)$. Thus $\Pi \mid \Phi^{-1}\{0\} \backslash(D \circ \Pi)^{-1}\{0\}$ is an $n$ sheeted holomorphic covering map.

For each positive integer $k$ we may also apply the formula (Ahlfors, §5.3 eqn(44)) to the function $w^{k}$ to conclude that the power sum

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{i}(z)^{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}_{\epsilon}(b)} \zeta^{k} \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial w}(z, \zeta)}{\Phi(z, \zeta)} d \zeta
$$

is a holomorphic function on $\mathbf{C} \backslash Z$. Any symmetric polynomial in the $\rho_{i}(z)$ 's is a polynomial in the power sums for various $k$, and hence is also holomorphic. Moreover, since it is easy to estimate the root of a monic polynomial in terms of its coefficients, we see that this holomorphic function has polynomial growth in $z$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ or in $\frac{1}{|z-a|}$ as $z \rightarrow a \in Z$, hence is a rational function. In particular, the discriminant $D(z)$ is a rational function of $z$, and $D^{-1}\{0\}$ is a finite set.

Next consider a point $a \in \mathbf{C} \backslash Z$ with $D(a)=0$. Lifting a small circle $\partial \mathbf{B}_{\delta}(a)$ gives a finite number of circles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{j}$ which $\Pi$ maps down with some positive integer multiplicities $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}$ where $k_{1}+k_{2}+\ldots+k_{j}=n$. Our formula for $N(z)$ shows that each such $C_{i}$ shrinks to the point $(a, b)$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$. It determines a component $V_{i}$ of $V \cap \Pi^{-1}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\delta}(a) \backslash\{a\}\right)$, which is a punctured disk. Then $[\Pi(\cdot)-a]^{1 / k_{i}}$
defines a holomorphic coordinate for $V_{i} \cup\{(a, b)\}$. We now conclude that $\Phi^{-1}\{0\}$ is a finite union of Riemann surfaces.

Finally we can lift a small circle $\partial \mathbf{B}_{\delta}(a)$ for $a \in Z$ or a large circle $\partial \mathbf{B}_{R}(0)$, large enough to contain $D^{-1}\{0\}$ in its interior, to see similar local topological behavior in a neighborhood of $a \in Z$ or of $\infty$. Because of the polynomial growth described above, we similarly obtain, after adding a finite set of points to $V$, holomorphic coordinates near these points at infinity. We finally obtain a finite union of compact Riemann surfaces.
Remark. A concrete way of obtaining these extra points is to take the closure of $V$ in the complex projective space $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$ under a usual embedding $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ in $\mathbf{C} P^{2}$.

Now we turn to a converse fact:
Riemann's Theorem. Every compact connected Riemann surface $M$ is biholomorphic to an algebraic Riemann surface as above.

Proof : We will construct an irreducible $\Phi(v, w)$ and biholomorphic map from $M \backslash\{$ finite set $\}$ onto $\Phi^{-1}\{0\} \subset \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$.

First we choose a nonconstant meromorphic function $z=f(P)$ on $M$. Then $f$ gives a holomorphic branched covering map onto $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ with a finite number $n$ of sheets. Let $B$ be the image of the branch points and fix a point $z_{0} \in \mathbf{C} \backslash B$ so that $f^{-1}\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ equals $n$ points $P_{1}^{0}, \ldots, P_{n}^{0}$.

Second, we find a meromorphic function $g$ on $M$ so that $g\left(P_{1}^{0}\right), \ldots, g\left(P_{n}^{0}\right)$ are distinct complex numbers. To do this we may, for example, let $\omega_{j}$ be a meromorphic 1 form on $M$ with a single order 2 pole at $P_{j}^{0}$ and principal part $-\frac{d z}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}}$ in terms of a local parameter $z=f(P)$. Let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ be distinct complex numbers and

$$
g=\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}\left(c_{1} \frac{\omega_{1}}{d z}+\ldots+c_{n} \frac{\omega_{n}}{d z}\right) .
$$

Then $g$ works because $\frac{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2} \omega_{i}}{d z}$ equals 1 at $P_{i}^{0}$ and vanishes at $P_{j}^{0}$ for $j \neq i$.
Now we can construct $\Phi(z, w)$. Letting $f^{-1}\{z\}=\left\{P_{1}(z), \ldots, P_{n}(z)\right\}$, we use the elementary symmetric functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} & =a_{1}(z)=-g\left(P_{1}\right)-g\left(P_{2}\right)-\ldots-g\left(P_{n}\right) \\
a_{2} & =a_{2}(z)=g\left(P_{1}\right) g\left(P_{2}\right)+g\left(P_{1}\right) g\left(P_{3}\right)+\ldots=\sum_{i<j} g\left(P_{i}\right) g\left(P_{j}\right) \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
a_{n} & =a_{n}(z)=(-1)^{n} g\left(P_{1}\right) \ldots g\left(P_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing as before, we find that these functions are by symmetry and growth considerations rational on C. Moreover,

$$
\Phi(z, w)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(w-g\left(P_{i}(z)\right)\right)=w^{n}+a_{1}(z) w^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{n}(z)
$$

and $\Phi$ is irreducible because the complex numbers $g\left(P_{1}^{0}\right), \ldots, g\left(P_{n}^{0}\right)$ are distinct.
The map $F=(f, g)$ clearly maps holomorphically onto $\Phi^{-1}\{0\}$. To see that $F$ is one-one, note that for any $P \in M$ with $F(P)=\left(z_{0}, w\right), f(P)=z_{0}, P=P_{i}^{0}$ for some $i$ and $w=g\left(P_{i}^{0}\right)$, hence $F^{-1}\left\{\left(z_{0}, w\right)\right\}$ has only one point. Thus $F$ has degree 1 and, being holomorphic between Riemann surfaces, must be one-one.

