

**Solutions to ANALYSIS QUALIFYING EXAM**

**January 2005**

**1.** Suppose  $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is continuous and the complex derivative  $f'(z)$  exists for all  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ .

(a) What is the Cauchy integral formula for  $f$  on the disk  $|z| < R$  ?

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}_R(z)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta .$$

(b) Since  $\frac{d^n}{dz^n} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \right) = \frac{n!}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}}$ , which is bounded for  $|z| \leq r < R$ , we may differentiate under the integral in the Cauchy Integral formula to find that all the complex derivatives exist and satisfy

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}_R(z)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta .$$

(c) Taking  $z = 0$  in this formula, we readily find

$$|f^{(n)}(0)| \leq M_R \frac{n!}{R^n} .$$

**2.** For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , a function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous if there is a positive constant  $C$  so that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C |x - y|^\alpha \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < y \leq 1 .$$

(a) Since  $x = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{x} < \sqrt{y}\sqrt{x}$ ,  $y + 2x < y + 2\sqrt{y}\sqrt{x}$ , and

$$(\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{x})^2 = y - 2\sqrt{y}\sqrt{x} + x < y - x = (\sqrt{y-x})^2 .$$

Taking square roots gives the desired Hölder estimate  $\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{x} < \sqrt{y-x}$ .

(b) If  $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$  were 1-Hölder continuous at  $x = 0$ , then  $\sqrt{y} = g(y) - g(0) \leq C(y - 0)$ . But this inequality is false for  $y \leq C^{-2}$ .

**3.** (a) Show that if  $f$  is meromorphic (but not holomorphic) at 0, then, for some  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^n \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \quad \text{exists and is nonzero .}$$

Choose  $n$  to be the order of the pole of  $f$  at 0 so that the Laurent expansion for  $f$  begins  $\frac{b_n}{z^n} + \frac{b_{n-1}}{z^{n-1}} + \dots$  with  $b_n \neq 0$ . For  $0 < r < 1$  this series is absolutely and uniformly convergent on  $|z| = r$ . Taking the absolute value, multiplying by  $r^n$ , integrating, using the triangular inequality, and taking the limit at  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we find that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^n \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = |b_n|$ .

(b) Show that if  $g$  is an entire holomorphic function, and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-1/2} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| d\theta < \infty, \quad \text{then } g \text{ is a constant.}$$

We may repeat the proof of 1(c) with  $f$  replaced by  $g$  and  $M_R$  replaced by

$$N_R = \int_0^{2\pi} |g(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq CR^{1/2}.$$

So for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{|g^{(n)}(0)|}{n!} \leq N_R R^{-n} \leq CR^{\frac{1}{2}-n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Using the power series expansion for  $g$  at 0 we find that  $g \equiv g(0)$ , a constant.

4. Suppose  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuously differentiable with  $\int_0^\infty |f(t)| dt < \infty$ .

(a) Since  $|f(t)| \geq |f(t)e^{-\varepsilon t^2}|$  and  $f(t)e^{-\varepsilon t^2} \rightarrow f(t)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , Lebesgue's dominated convergence gives

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-\varepsilon t^2} dt = \int_0^\infty f(t) dt.$$

(b) Since  $|f(t)| \geq |f(t)e^{-t^2/\varepsilon}|$  and  $f(t)e^{-t^2/\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , dominated convergence this time gives

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-t^2/\varepsilon} dt = 0.$$

(c) Write  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  both integrable and continuously differentiable,  $f_1 \mid [3, \infty) \equiv 0$ , and  $f_2 \mid [0, 1] \equiv 0$ . We readily check (for example by differentiating) that, for each  $\varepsilon < 1$ , the function  $te^{-t^2/\varepsilon}$  is decreasing on  $[1, \infty)$ . So

$$\left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty f_2(t)te^{-t^2/\varepsilon} dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e^{-1/\varepsilon} \int_1^\infty |f_2(t)| dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

For the  $f_1$  term, we use integration by parts with  $g(t) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-t^2/\varepsilon}$  to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty f_1(t)te^{-t^2/\varepsilon} dt &= \int_0^3 f_1(t)g'(t) dt \\ &= f_1(3)g(3) - f_1(0)g(0) - \int_0^3 f_1'(t)g(t) dt \\ &= 0 + \frac{1}{2}f(0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^3 f_1'(t)e^{-t^2/\varepsilon} dt \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}f(0) + 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty f_1(t)te^{-t^2/\varepsilon} dt = \frac{1}{2}f(0)$ .

5. (a) Suppose that  $A$  is a (possibly uncountable) set. Prove that if  $f_a : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous function for each  $a \in A$ , then  $f(x) = \sup_{a \in A} f_a(x)$  is Lebesgue measurable. For each  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $E_t = \{x : f(x) > t\}$  and  $E_{a,t} = \{x : f_a(x) > t\}$ . So  $x \in E_t$  iff  $f(x) > t$  iff  $f_a(x) > t$  for some  $a \in A$  iff  $x \in \cup_{a \in A} E_{a,t}$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, each  $E_{a,t}$  is open so  $E_t = \cup_{a \in A} E_{a,t}$  is also open, hence measurable. So  $f$  is measurable.

(b) Show that there exists a set  $A$  and a family  $\{g_a : a \in A\}$  of Lebesgue measurable functions  $g_a : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  so that  $g(x) = \sup_{a \in A} g_a(x)$  is not Lebesgue measurable. For an unmeasurable subset  $A$  of  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $g = \chi_A$  is not measurable. But  $g = \sup_{a \in A} g_a$  where each  $g_a = \chi_{\{a\}}$  is measurable.

6. (a) For what complex numbers  $z$  is the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} e^{kz}$  absolutely convergent?

Since a geometric series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k$  converges absolutely iff  $|a| < 1$  and  $|2^{-k} e^{kz}| = (\frac{1}{2}e^x)^k$  we see that the series from 6(a) converges iff  $\frac{1}{2}e^x < 1$  or  $x < \log 2$ .

(b) For these  $z$ , the sum of this series is given by the formula for the sum of a geometric series, namely,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}e^z} = \frac{2}{2 - e^z}.$$

(c) The series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \cos kz$  is absolutely convergent iff  $|y| < \log 2$ . In fact, note that  $\cos kz = \frac{1}{2}(e^{ikz} + e^{-iks})$ . Also

$$|\frac{1}{2}e^{iz}| = \frac{1}{2}e^{-y} < 1 \Leftrightarrow e^y > \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$|\frac{1}{2}e^{-iz}| = \frac{1}{2}e^y < 1 \Leftrightarrow e^y < 2.$$

Thus for  $|y| < \log 2$  both geometric series of exponentials  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2}e^{iz})^k$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2}e^{-iz})^k$  converge absolutely and hence so does  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \cos kz$ .

For  $|y| \geq \log 2$  one of the two geometric series of exponentials does converge absolutely while the other definitely does not. Thus it is impossible that their average converges absolutely.