Instructor evaluation

1) Organization: The instructor's preparation for class was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   2  3  4  5

2) Presentation: The instructor's presentation of the material was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   2  3  4  5

3) Responsiveness: The instructor's response to student questions and requests for help was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   2  3  4  5

4) Classroom Atmosphere: The atmosphere that the instructor created in the classroom relating to respect, support, civility, and equitable treatment for all members was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   2  3  4  5

5) Independence: The instructor's ability to encourage and foster the development of independent thinking and/or creativity was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   2  3  4  5

6) Stimulation: The instructor's ability to stimulate intellectual curiosity was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   2  3  4  5

7) Knowledge: The instructor's ability to inspire confidence in his/her knowledge of the subject was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   2  3  4  5

8) Effectiveness: Overall, I feel that the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   2  3  4  5

9) Responsibility: The instructor attended class, was on time for class, and returned assignments in a reasonable time:
   Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
   2  3  4  5

Comments on the instructor:

One of the most effective instructors I've had at Rice.
**Course evaluation**

1) **Organization:** The course organization was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) **Assignments:** The contribution that the graded work (exams, assignments, studio, or lab work) made to the learning experience was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) **Overall, I would rate the quality of the course as:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) **Challenge:** I was challenged to extend my capabilities or to develop new ones:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) **Workload:** The workload for this course compared to others at Rice was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Much Lighter</th>
<th>Somewhat Lighter</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Somewhat Heavier</th>
<th>Much Heavier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) **I am taking this course because it satisfies:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Univ Req or Dist Req for Major</th>
<th>Elective in Major</th>
<th>Free Elective</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7) **My expected grade in this course is:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on the course:

*Very effective instructor.*
Instructor evaluation

1) Organization: The instructor’s preparation for class was:
   - Outstanding Good Average Fair Poor
   - 2 3 4 5

2) Presentation: The instructor’s presentation of the material was:
   - Outstanding Good Average Fair Poor
   - 2 3 4 5

3) Responsiveness: The instructor’s response to student questions and requests for help was:
   - Outstanding Good Average Fair Poor
   - 2 3 4 5

4) Classroom Atmosphere: The atmosphere that the instructor created in the classroom relating to respect, support, civility, and equitable treatment for all members was:
   - Outstanding Good Average Fair Poor
   - 2 3 4 5

5) Independence: The instructor’s ability to encourage and foster the development of independent thinking and/or creativity was:
   - Outstanding Good Average Fair Poor
   - 2 3 4 5

6) Stimulation: The instructor’s ability to stimulate intellectual curiosity was:
   - Outstanding Good Average Fair Poor
   - 2 3 4 5

7) Knowledge: The instructor’s ability to inspire confidence in his/her knowledge of the subject was:
   - Outstanding Good Average Fair Poor
   - 2 3 4 5

8) Effectiveness: Overall, I feel that the instructor’s effectiveness as a teacher was:
   - Outstanding Good Average Fair Poor
   - 2 3 4 5

9) Responsibility: The instructor attended class, was on time for class, and returned assignments in a reasonable time:
   - Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
   - 2 3 4 5

Comments on the instructor:

Instructor was very approachable and made the learning experience fun and enjoyable.
Instructor evaluation

1) Organization: The instructor's preparation for class was:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Presentation: The instructor's presentation of the material was:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Responsiveness: The instructor's response to student questions and requests for help was:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Classroom Atmosphere: The atmosphere that the instructor created in the classroom relating to respect, support, civility, and equitable treatment for all members was:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) Independence: The instructor's ability to encourage and foster the development of independent thinking and/or creativity was:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) Stimulation: The instructor's ability to stimulate intellectual curiosity was:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7) Knowledge: The instructor's ability to inspire confidence in his/her knowledge of the subject was:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8) Effectiveness: Overall, I feel that the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher was:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9) Responsibility: The instructor attended class, was on time for class, and returned assignments in a reasonable time:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on the instructor:
Course evaluation

1) Organization: The course organization was:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

2) Assignments: The contribution that the graded work (exams, assignments, studio, or lab work) made to the learning experience was:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

3) Overall, I would rate the quality of the course as:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

4) Challenge: I was challenged to extend my capabilities or to develop new ones:
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Neutral
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

5) Workload: The workload for this course compared to others at Rice was:
   - Much Lighter
   - Somewhat Lighter
   - Average
   - Somewhat Heavier
   - Much Heavier
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

6) I am taking this course because it satisfies:
   - University Req or Dist Req for Major
   - Elective in Major
   - Free Elective
   - N/A
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

7) My expected grade in this course is:
   - A
   - B
   - C
   - D
   - F
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

Comments on the course:
Evaluation instructions: Please circle your responses. When finished, hand the completed form to the designated student volunteer, who will then put the forms in the envelope provided and return them to the Mathematics Department office in Herman Brown Hall, room 220.

Course evaluation

1) Organization: The course organization was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Assignments: The contribution that the graded work (exams, assignments, studio, or lab work) made to the learning experience was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Overall, I would rate the quality of the course as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Challenge: I was challenged to extend my capabilities or to develop new ones:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) Workload: The workload for this course compared to others at Rice was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Much Lighter</th>
<th>Somewhat Lighter</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Somewhat Heavier</th>
<th>Much Heavier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) I am taking this course because it satisfies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Univ Req or Dist Req for Major</th>
<th>Elective in Major</th>
<th>Free Elective</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7) My expected grade in this course is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on the course:

- Class was really well organized, and I enjoyed how motivating problems led into the lessons, and how we revisited it after the lesson.
- Also I appreciated the homework. It was never overwhelming, but always relevant to lectures and exams.
Instructor evaluation

1) Organization: The instructor’s preparation for class was:
   - Outstanding: 1
   - Good: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Fair: 4
   - Poor: 5

2) Presentation: The instructor’s presentation of the material was:
   - Outstanding: 2
   - Good: 3
   - Average: 4
   - Fair: 5
   - Poor: 5

3) Responsiveness: The instructor’s response to student questions and requests for help was:
   - Outstanding: 1
   - Good: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Fair: 4
   - Poor: 5

4) Classroom Atmosphere: The atmosphere that the instructor created in the classroom relating to respect, support, civility, and equitable treatment for all members was:
   - Outstanding: 2
   - Good: 3
   - Average: 4
   - Fair: 5
   - Poor: 5

5) Independence: The instructor’s ability to encourage and foster the development of independent thinking and/or creativity was:
   - Outstanding: 1
   - Good: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Fair: 4
   - Poor: 5

6) Stimulation: The instructor’s ability to stimulate intellectual curiosity was:
   - Outstanding: 2
   - Good: 3
   - Average: 4
   - Fair: 5
   - Poor: 5

7) Knowledge: The instructor’s ability to inspire confidence in his/her knowledge of the subject was:
   - Outstanding: 1
   - Good: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Fair: 4
   - Poor: 5

8) Effectiveness: Overall, I feel that the instructor’s effectiveness as a teacher was:
   - Outstanding: 1
   - Good: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Fair: 4
   - Poor: 5

9) Responsibility: The instructor attended class, was on time for class, and returned assignments in a reasonable time:
   - Strongly Agree: 1
   - Agree: 2
   - Neutral: 3
   - Disagree: 4
   - Strongly Disagree: 5

Comments on the instructor:

What a beller.

I only gotta say, have a bit more confidence in your teaching. You did a great job, and I thank you for it.
Instructor evaluation

1) Organization: The instructor’s preparation for class was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Presentation: The instructor’s presentation of the material was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Responsiveness: The instructor’s response to student questions and requests for help was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Classroom Atmosphere: The atmosphere that the instructor created in the classroom relating to respect, support, civility, and equitable treatment for all members was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) Independence: The instructor’s ability to encourage and foster the development of independent thinking and/or creativity was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) Stimulation: The instructor’s ability to stimulate intellectual curiosity was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7) Knowledge: The instructor’s ability to inspire confidence in his/her knowledge of the subject was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8) Effectiveness: Overall, I feel that the instructor’s effectiveness as a teacher was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9) Responsibility: The instructor attended class, was on time for class, and returned assignments in a reasonable time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on the instructor:
Evaluation instructions: Please circle your responses. When finished, hand the completed form to the designated student volunteer, who will then put the forms in the envelope provided and return them to the Mathematics Department office in Herman Brown Hall, room 220.

Course evaluation

1) Organization: The course organization was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Assignments: The contribution that the graded work (exams, assignments, studio, or lab work) made to the learning experience was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Overall, I would rate the quality of the course as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Challenge: I was challenged to extend my capabilities or to develop new ones:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreements</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) Workload: The workload for this course compared to others at Rice was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload</th>
<th>Much Lighter</th>
<th>Somewhat Lighter</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Somewhat Heavier</th>
<th>Heavier</th>
<th>Much Heavier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) I am taking this course because it satisfies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Univ Req for Major</th>
<th>Dist Req for Major</th>
<th>Elective in Major</th>
<th>Free Elective</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7) My expected grade in this course is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on the course:
Course evaluation

1) Organisation: The course organization was:
   - Outstanding: 1
   - Good: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Fair: 4
   - Poor: 5

2) Assignments: The contribution that the graded work (exams, assignments, studio, or lab work) made to the learning experience was:
   - Outstanding: 1
   - Good: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Fair: 4
   - Poor: 5

3) Overall, I would rate the quality of the course as:
   - Outstanding: 1
   - Good: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Fair: 4
   - Poor: 5

4) Challenge: I was challenged to extend my capabilities or to develop new ones:
   - Strongly Agree: 1
   - Agree: 2
   - Neutral: 3
   - Disagree: 4
   - Strongly Disagree: 5

5) Workload: The workload for this course compared to others at Rice was:
   - Much Lighter: 1
   - Somewhat Lighter: 2
   - Average: 3
   - Somewhat Heavier: 4
   - Much Heavier: 5

6) I am taking this course because it satisfies:
   - Univar / Dist Req for Major: 1
   - Elective in Major: 2
   - Free Elective: 3
   - N/A: 4

7) My expected grade in this course is:
   - A: 1
   - B: 2
   - C: 3
   - D: 4
   - F: 5

Comments on the course:

I learned a lot!
Instructor evaluation

1) Organization: The instructor's preparation for class was:

   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

2) Presentation: The instructor's presentation of the material was:

   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

3) Responsiveness: The instructor's response to student questions and requests for help was:

   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

4) Classroom Atmosphere: The atmosphere that the instructor created in the classroom relating to respect, support, civility, and equitable treatment for all members was:

   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

5) Independence: The instructor's ability to encourage and foster the development of independent thinking and/or creativity was:

   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

6) Stimulation: The instructor's ability to stimulate intellectual curiosity was:

   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

7) Knowledge: The instructor's ability to inspire confidence in his/her knowledge of the subject was:

   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

8) Effectiveness: Overall, I feel that the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher was:

   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

9) Responsibility: The instructor attended class, was on time for class, and returned assignments in a reasonable time:

   Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
   1  2  3  4  5

Comments on the instructor:

I really enjoyed the class, but instead of spending so much time on proofs, I think it would have been more beneficial to us if you worked out more examples. Thanks for a great class!
Course evaluation

1) **Organization**: The course organization was:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

2) **Assignments**: The contribution that the graded work (exams, assignments, studio, or lab work) made to the learning experience was:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

3) **Overall, I would rate the quality of the course as**:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

4) **Challenge**: I was challenged to extend my capabilities or to develop new ones:
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Neutral
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

5) **Workload**: The workload for this course compared to others at Rice was:
   - Much Lighter
   - Somewhat Lighter
   - Average
   - Somewhat Heavier
   - Much Heavier
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

6) I am taking this course because it satisfies:
   - Univ or Dist Req for Major
   - Elective in Major
   - Free Elective
   - N/A
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

7) My expected grade in this course is:
   - A
   - B
   - C
   - D
   - F
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

Comments on the course:
Instructor evaluation

1) Organization: The instructor's preparation for class was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

2) Presentation: The instructor's presentation of the material was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

3) Responsiveness: The instructor's response to student questions and requests for help was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

4) Classroom Atmosphere: The atmosphere that the instructor created in the classroom relating to respect, support, civility, and equitable treatment for all members was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

5) Independence: The instructor's ability to encourage and foster the development of independent thinking and/or creativity was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

6) Stimulation: The instructor's ability to stimulate intellectual curiosity was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

7) Knowledge: The instructor's ability to inspire confidence in his/her knowledge of the subject was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

8) Effectiveness: Overall, I feel that the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher was:
   Outstanding  Good  Average  Fair  Poor
   1  2  3  4  5

9) Responsibility: The instructor attended class, was on time for class, and returned assignments in a reasonable time:
   Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
   1  2  3  4  5

Comments on the instructor: Went out of his way to offer extra help if needed. Did a great job making sure everyone understood everything before moving on.
Course evaluation

1) Organization: The course organization was:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

2) Assignments: The contribution that the graded work (exams, assignments, studio, or lab work) made to the learning experience was:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

3) Overall, I would rate the quality of the course as:
   - Outstanding
   - Good
   - Average
   - Fair
   - Poor
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

4) Challenge: I was challenged to extend my capabilities or to develop new ones:
   - Strongly Agree
   - Agree
   - Neutral
   - Disagree
   - Strongly Disagree
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

5) Workload: The workload for this course compared to others at Rice was:
   - Much Lighter
   - Somewhat Lighter
   - Average
   - Somewhat Heavier
   - Much Heavier
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

6) I am taking this course because it satisfies:
   - Univ or Dist Req for Major
   - Elective in Major
   - Free Elective
   - N/A
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

7) My expected grade in this course is:
   - A
   - B
   - C
   - D
   - F
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5

Comments on the course:
Fairly heavy workload but I found the class very rewarding.