Whitehead torsion

Let R be an associative ring with unit. We have mclusions $GL_n(R) \hookrightarrow GL_{n+1}(R) \hookrightarrow \cdots$ $M \xrightarrow{(M \ 0)} \begin{pmatrix} M \ 0 \\ 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $GL(R) = \lim_{n \to \infty} GL_n(R)$ Def: An matrix is elementary if it coincides with identify except for one off diagonal element. <u>Lemma</u> (whitehead): The subgp E(R)=GL(R) gen by elementary matrices is [GL(R),GL(R)]. + (commutator) Pf(easy): See Milhor's paper on "Whitehoad + rsion". Def: The Whitehead group of R is $K_{I}(R) := GL(R) / E(R) = GL / EGL(R), GL(R)$ Ex: If IF is a commutative field then $det: K_1(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\widehat{=}} \mathbb{F}^* = \mathbb{F} \setminus \{o\}$ is an isomorphism. This follows from fact that SL(#)/E(#) = 0 since you can reduce every det=1 matrix to identity by elementary row operations. EX: Since Z has a Euclidean algonimm $K_1(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\pm 1\} \leftarrow \text{units in } \mathbb{Z}.$

Exi If F is a skew field (e.g. X(r)= right ring of fractions of ZI, for N= PTFA group), then $K_1(F) = U_{[U,U]}$ where U = group of units of F.Using the non-commutative Dieudonné determinant, we get a map $GL(F) \longrightarrow K_{I}(F) = \underline{U}$ [u.u] For $F = \mathcal{X}(\Gamma)$, with $\Gamma = PTFA$, $K_1(\mathcal{X}(\Gamma)) = \frac{\mathcal{X}^*}{[\kappa^*, \kappa^*]}$ where $X^{*} = X(P)^{*} = X(P) \cdot S^{3}$ Rmk: The map GL(R) --- K1(R) can thus be A I [A] = equiv classo thought of as a universal determinant for R. Def: If G is a group, the Whitehead group of G $Wh(G) := K_1(ZG) / \{ \pm g \mid g \in G \}$ Let Cx be a projective R-chain complex. Lemma: A projective R-chain complex is acyclic ⇒ it is contractible (i.e. has a chain contraction).

Not: contractible
$$\Rightarrow$$
 acyclic
but converse not true if not projective.
Ex: $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and consider
 $C_{x} = \bigcup_{3} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{O}$
Then $H_{p}(C_{x}|=\mathbb{O} \quad \forall p$. but has no contraction.
Suppose C_{x} is acyclic with contraction $S: C_{x} \rightarrow C_{x+1}$
s.t. $\partial \cdot S + S \cdot \partial = id$. Then
 $(S + \partial)_{ad}: C_{odd} \rightarrow C_{even}$
is an isomorphism so after choosing a bases for
 $C_{p} \quad \forall p$, $(\partial + S)_{odd} \in \widetilde{K}_{1}(R)$.
Where $\widehat{K}(R) = K_{1}(R)/\overline{S}(\pm 1)\overline{S}_{2}$. This does not depend
on the choice of contraction. To see this, let
 S be another chain contraction of C_{x} and lef
 $\overline{O}_{i} = \overline{S}_{i+1} \circ \overline{S}_{1}: C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i+2}$.
Then $\overline{O}_{even}: C_{even}$ and $\overline{O}_{odd}: C_{odd} \rightarrow C_{odd}$.
Note that $\overline{O}_{i} + id: C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i+2}$

So
$$(\Theta + id)_{add} = \begin{pmatrix} I \Theta_0 \\ I \Theta_2 \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ f_{W} C_0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow C_2$$

f f f $f_{W} C_0 \leftarrow C_2$
Sinilarily for $\Theta + id_{even}$. Hence $[\Theta + id_{even}] = [\Theta + id]_{bdd}$
 $= 0$ in $K_1(\mathbb{R})$
Note: $C_{odd} \xrightarrow{+ \kappa} C_{even} \frac{id + \Theta}{\cong} C_{even} \xrightarrow{\to + \kappa} C_{odd}$
id $+\Theta \cong$
(an also exchange order of κ and κ :
 $C_{odd} \xrightarrow{+ \kappa} C_{even} \frac{id + \Theta'}{\cong} C_{even} \xrightarrow{+ \kappa} C_{odd}$
id $+\Theta' \cong$
with $\Theta' = S \circ \chi \implies (2 + \kappa)_{even}$ and $(2 + \kappa)_{odd}$ are
isomorphisms and
 $[2 + \kappa_{even}] = -[2 + \kappa_{oda}] \in K_1(\mathbb{R}).$
Since $(2 + \kappa)_{odd} = 2\kappa + \kappa 2 = id$
 $\implies [2 + \kappa_{odd}] = -[2 + \kappa_{oda}]$

<u>Def</u>: For C_{κ} a based acyclic free acyclic R-chains complex ,

$$\mathcal{T}(C_*) := [(\partial + \delta)_{odd} : C_{odd} \rightarrow C_{even}] \in \widetilde{K}_1(R),$$

Called the torsion of Cx.

Note: Need to work in K,(R) since bases are unordered.

Now suppose
$$\Psi: C_* \longrightarrow D_*$$
 be an R -chain map
finite based for R -chain complexes. Then we
can form the mapping cone:
 $(one(\Psi) = \cdots \longrightarrow C_p \oplus D_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_c & 0 \\ \Psi & d \end{pmatrix}} C_{p-1} \oplus D_p \longrightarrow \cdots$
 $(one(\Psi)_p$
i.e. $\partial(a,b) = (-\partial Q, \partial b + \Psi(a))$
 $(heck \ \partial^2(a,b) = (\partial^2 a, -\Psi(a(a)) + \partial^2 b + \partial \Psi(a))$
 $= (0, 0)$
since Ψ is a chain map.

Lemma (HW) If
$$\Psi$$
 is an R-chain homotopy
equivalence, i.e. $\exists \phi s.t. \quad 4 \circ \phi$ and $\phi \circ \Psi$
are chain homotopic to the identity, than
.cone(4) is acyclic.

 $\Im[\exists h s.t. ah+h \Rightarrow = \Psi \circ \phi \cdot id +]$
 $Def:$ The Whitehead torsion of Ψ is
 $I(\Psi) = I(cone(\Psi)) \in \tilde{K}_1(R).$
Whitehead torsion for homotopy equivalences:
Suppose $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence
of finite connected CW-complexes. Then f lifts to
 $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}$
of the universal wiers, and we get
 $\tilde{f}_{*}: C_{*}(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow C_{*}(\tilde{Y})$
a ZG- chain homotopy equivalence where $G=\pi_1(x)=\pi_1(x)$

bases for $C_{*}(\tilde{X})$ and $C_{*}(Y)$.

Def: Define the Whitehead torsion of the homotopy equivalence f: X→Y of finite connected (W complexes to be $T(f) := T(\widehat{f_*}) = [cone(\widetilde{f_*})] \in \widetilde{K_1}(G_1)$ • If f: X→Y is a homeomorphism =) T(f) = O. To fact it vanishes precisely for "simple homotopy"

In fact it vanishes precisely for "simple homotopy equivalences".