

Singularities of special Lagrangian submanifolds and SYZ

Dominic Joyce
Oxford University

based on
math.DG/0310460
math.DG/0206016
math.DG/0011179
and references in these

Almost Calabi-Yau m -folds

An *almost Calabi-Yau m -fold* (M, J, g, Ω) is a compact complex m -fold (M, J) with a Kähler metric g with Kähler form ω , and a nonvanishing holomorphic $(m, 0)$ -form Ω , the *holomorphic volume form*.

It is a *Calabi-Yau m -fold* if $|\Omega|^2 \equiv 2^m$. Then $\nabla\Omega = 0$ and g is Ricci-flat.

Special Lagrangian m -folds

Let (M, J, g, Ω) be an almost Calabi-Yau m -fold. Let N be a real m -submanifold of M . We call N *special Lagrangian (SL)* if $\omega|_N \equiv \text{Im } \Omega|_N \equiv 0$.

If (M, J, g, Ω) is a Calabi-Yau m -fold then $\text{Re } \Omega$ is a *calibration* on (M, g) , and N is an SL m -fold iff it is calibrated with respect to $\text{Re } \Omega$.

Singular SL m -folds

General singularities of SL m -folds may be very bad, and difficult to study. Would like a class of singular SL m -folds with nice, well-behaved singularities to study in depth. Would like these to occur often in real life, i.e. of finite codimension in the space of all SL m -folds. SL m -folds with *isolated conical singularities (ICS)* are such a class.

Let N be an SL m -fold in M whose only singular points are x_1, \dots, x_n . Near x_i we can identify M with $\mathbb{C}^m \cong T_{x_i}M$, and N near x_i approximates an SL m -fold in \mathbb{C}^m with singularity at 0. We say N has *isolated conical singularities* if near x_i it converges with order $O(r^{\mu_i})$ for $\mu_i > 1$ to an SL cone C_i in \mathbb{C}^m nonsingular except at 0.

SL m -folds with ICS have a rich theory.

- **Examples.** Many examples of SL cones C_i in \mathbb{C}^m have been constructed. Rudiments of classification for $m = 3$.

- **Regularity near x_1, \dots, x_n .** Let $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion. If $\nabla^k \iota$ converges to C_i near x_i with order $O(r^{\mu_i - k})$ for $k = 0, 1$ then it does so for all $k \geq 0$.

• **Deformation theory.** The moduli space \mathcal{M}_N of deformations of N is locally homeomorphic to $\Phi^{-1}(0)$, for smooth $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ and fin. dim. vector spaces \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O} with \mathcal{I} the image of $H_{\text{CS}}^1(N', \mathbb{R})$ in $H^1(N', \mathbb{R})$, $N' = N \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and $\dim \mathcal{O} = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{s-ind}(C_i)$. Here $\text{s-ind}(C_i) \in \mathbb{N}$ is the *stability index*, the obstructions from C_i . If $\text{s-ind}(C_i) = 0$ for all i then \mathcal{M}_N is smooth.

• **Desingularization.** Let C be an SL cone in \mathbb{C}^m , non-singular except at 0. A non-singular SL m -fold L in \mathbb{C}^m is *Asymptotically Conical (AC)* C if L converges to C at infinity with order $O(r^\lambda)$ for $\lambda < 1$. Then tL converges to C as $t \rightarrow 0_+$. Thus, AC SL m -folds model how families of nonsingular SL m -folds develop singularities modelled on C .

If N is an SL m -fold with ICS at x_1, \dots, x_n and cones C_i , and L_1, \dots, L_n are AC SL m -folds in \mathbb{C}^m with cones C_i , then under cohomological conditions we can construct a family of compact nonsingular SL m -folds \tilde{N}_t for small $t > 0$ converging to N as $t \rightarrow 0$, by gluing tL_i into N at x_i , all i .

- Generic codimension of singularities.** Given an SL m -fold N with ICS in M , we have moduli spaces \mathcal{M}_N of deformations of N , and $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}$ of desingularizations \tilde{N} of N made by gluing in L_1, \dots, L_n . Here \mathcal{M}_N is part of the *boundary* of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}$. If M is a *generic* almost C-Y m -fold then $\mathcal{M}_N, \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}$ are smooth with known dimension.

Call $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}} - \dim \mathcal{M}_N$ the *index* of the singularities of N . It is the sum over i of $s\text{-ind}(C_i)$ and topological terms from L_i . In a $\dim k$ family \mathcal{B} of SL m -folds in a generic almost C-Y m -fold M , only singularities with index $\leq k$ occur. For SYZ in generic M we need to know about singularities with index 1,2,3 (and 4).

Problem: classify singularities with small index.

Mirror Symmetry

String theorists believe that each Calabi–Yau 3-fold X has a quantization, a *SCFT*.

Calabi–Yau 3-folds X, \hat{X} are a *mirror pair* if their SCFT's are related by a certain

involution of SCFT structure.

Then invariants of X, \hat{X} are related in surprising ways. For instance,

$$H^{1,1}(X) \cong H^{2,1}(\hat{X}) \text{ and}$$

$$H^{2,1}(X) \cong H^{1,1}(\hat{X}).$$

Using physics, Strominger, Yau and Zaslow proposed:

The SYZ Conjecture. *Let X, \hat{X} be mirror Calabi–Yau 3-folds. There is a compact 3-manifold B and continuous, surjective $f : X \rightarrow B$ and $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow B$, such that*

- (i) For b in a dense $B_0 \subset B$, the fibres $f^{-1}(b), \hat{f}^{-1}(b)$ are dual SL 3-tori T^3 in X, \hat{X} .*
- (ii) For $b \notin B_0$, $f^{-1}(b)$ and $\hat{f}^{-1}(b)$ are singular SL 3-folds in X, \hat{X} .*

We call f, \hat{f} *special Lagrangian fibrations*, and $\Delta = B \setminus B_0$ the *discriminant*.

In (i), the nonsingular fibres T, \hat{T} of f, \hat{f} are supposed to be *dual tori*. Topologically, this means an isomorphism $H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(\hat{T}, \mathbb{Z})$. But the metrics on T, \hat{T} should really be dual as well. This only makes sense in the ‘large complex structure limit’, when the fibres are small and nearly flat.

U(1)-invariant SL 3-folds

Let $U(1)$ act on \mathbb{C}^3 by

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (e^{i\theta} z_1, e^{-i\theta} z_2, z_3).$$

Let N be a $U(1)$ -invariant SL 3-fold. Then locally we can write N in the form

$$\left\{ (z_1, z_2, z_3) : |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2 = 2a, \right. \\ \left. z_1 z_2 = v(x, y) + iy, \right. \\ \left. z_3 = x + iu(x, y), x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

where $u, v : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$u_x = v_y \quad \text{and} \\ v_x = -2(v^2 + y^2 + a^2)^{1/2} u_y. \quad (*)$$

Since $u_x = v_y$, there exists a potential function f with $u = f_y$ and $v = f_x$. The 2nd equation of (*) becomes

$$f_{xx} + 2(f_x^2 + y^2 + a^2)^{1/2} f_{yy} = 0. \quad (+)$$

This is a second-order quasi-linear equation. When $a \neq 0$ it is locally uniformly elliptic. When $a = 0$ it is non-uniformly elliptic, except at *singular points* $f_x = y = 0$.

Theorem A. Let S be a compact domain in \mathbb{R}^2 satisfying some convexity conditions.

Let $\phi \in C^{3,\alpha}(\partial S)$.

If $a \neq 0$ there exists a unique $f \in C^{3,\alpha}(S)$ satisfying (+) with $f|_{\partial S} = \phi$. If $a = 0$ there exists a unique $f \in C^1(S)$ satisfying (+) with weak second derivatives, with $f|_{\partial S} = \phi$.

Also f depends continuously in $C^1(S)$ on a, ϕ .

Theorem A shows that the Dirichlet problem for $(+)$ is uniquely solvable in certain convex domains. The induced solutions $u, v \in C^0(S)$ of $(*)$ yield $U(1)$ -invariant SL 3-folds in \mathbb{C}^3 satisfying certain boundary conditions over ∂S . When $a \neq 0$ these SL 3-folds are nonsingular, when $a = 0$ they are singular when $v = y = 0$.

Theorem B.

Let $\phi, \phi' \in C^{3,\alpha}(\partial S)$, let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $f, f' \in C^{3,\alpha}(S)$ or $C^1(S)$ be the solutions of (+) from Theorem A with

$f|_{\partial S} = \phi, f'|_{\partial S} = \phi'$. Let

$u = f_y, v = f_x, u' = f'_y, v' = f'_x$.

Suppose $\phi - \phi'$ has $k+1$ local maxima and $k+1$ local minima on ∂S . Then $(u, v) - (u', v')$ has no more than k zeroes in S° , counted with multiplicity.

Theorem C.

Let $u, v \in C^0(S)$ be a singular solution of $(*)$ with $a = 0$, e.g. from Theorem A. Then **either** $u(x, y) \equiv u(x, -y)$ and $v(x, y) \equiv -v(x, -y)$, so that u, v is singular on the x -axis, **or** the singularities $(x, 0)$ of u, v in S° are *isolated*, with a *multiplicity* $n > 0$. Multiplicity n singularities occur in codimension n of boundary data. All multiplicities occur.

Theorem D.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be open, S as above, and $\Phi : U \rightarrow C^{3,\alpha}(\partial S)$ continuous such that if $(a, b, c) \neq (a, b', c') \in U$ then $\Phi(a, b, c) - \Phi(a, b', c')$ has 1 local maximum and 1 local minimum.

For $\alpha = (a, b, c) \in U$, let $f_\alpha \in C^1(S)$ be the solution of (+) from Theorem A with $f_\alpha|_{\partial S} = \Phi(\alpha)$.

Set $u_\alpha = (f_\alpha)_y$ and $v_\alpha = (f_\alpha)_x$.

Let N_α be the SL 3-fold

$$\{(z_1, z_2, z_3) : |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2 = 2a,$$

$$z_1 z_2 = v_\alpha(x, y) + iy,$$

$$z_3 = x + iu_\alpha(x, y), (x, y) \in S^\circ\}.$$

Then there exists an open

$V \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ and a continuous map

$$F : V \rightarrow U \text{ with } F^{-1}(\alpha) = N_\alpha.$$

This is a $U(1)$ -invariant

special Lagrangian fibration.

It can include *singular fibres,*

of every multiplicity $n > 0$.

Example. Define $f : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ by $f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (a, b)$, where $2a = |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2$ and

$$b = \begin{cases} z_3, & z_1 = z_2 = 0, \\ z_3 + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 / |z_1|, & a \geq 0, z_1 \neq 0, \\ z_3 + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 / |z_2|, & a < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then f is a piecewise-smooth SL fibration of \mathbb{C}^3 . It is not smooth on $|z_1| = |z_2|$.

The fibres $f^{-1}(a, b)$ are T^2 -cones when $a = 0$, and non-singular $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ when $a \neq 0$.

Conclusions

Using these SL fibrations as local models, if X is a *generic* ACY 3-fold and $f : X \rightarrow B$ an SL fibration, I predict:

- f is only piecewise smooth.
- All fibres have finitely many singular points.
- Δ is codim 1 in B . Generic singularities are modelled on the example above.
- Some codim 2 singularities are also locally $U(1)$ -invariant.

- Codim 3 singularities are not locally $U(1)$ -invariant.

- If $f : X \rightarrow B$, $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow B$ are dual SL fibrations of mirror C-Y 3-folds, the discriminants $\Delta, \hat{\Delta}$ have different topology near codim 3 singular fibres, so $\Delta \neq \hat{\Delta}$.

This contradicts some statements of the SYZ Conjecture. I regard SYZ as primarily a limiting statement about the ‘large complex structure limit’.