

# Take-home Final

Anthony Várilly

*Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138  
Math 250b: Higher Algebra II, Spring 2003*

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p$  be a rational prime number and let  $A$  be a commutative ring (in which  $p$  is not a zero-divisor), which is complete and separated with respect to the  $p$ -adic filtration

$$\cdots \subset p^n A \subset \cdots \subset p^2 A \subset pA \subset A.$$

We call  $\tilde{K} := A/pA$  the *residue ring* of  $A$ . The goal of this note is to show that given a perfect ring  $\tilde{K}$  of characteristic  $p$  there is a (unique) ring  $A$ , complete and separated with respect to the  $p$ -adic filtration, such that its residue ring is  $\tilde{K}$ . The first half of the paper contains a proof of the existence of such an  $A$ . We call this ring the ring of Witt vectors of  $\tilde{K}$  and denote it  $W(\tilde{K})$ . The second half is devoted to a construction of  $W(\tilde{K})$  due to Witt. The exposition is influenced by Serre [1].

We begin with some preliminary material on perfect closures of rings.

## 2 Perfect Closures

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring of characteristic  $p$ . There exists a unique perfect ring  $R^{\text{perf}}$  of characteristic  $p$  together with an inclusion  $R \rightarrow R^{\text{perf}}$  with the property that for any perfect ring  $K$  (with  $\text{char } K = p$ ), together with an inclusion  $R \rightarrow K$ , there is a unique homomorphism  $\alpha$  that makes the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{\text{perf}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ R & & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* There are many things to take care of. First, we will construct  $R^{\text{perf}}$  and prove it is perfect. Consider the  $p$ -power homomorphism  $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ . Let  $R^{\text{perf}}$  be the direct limit of the sequence of rings and homomorphisms:

$$R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where all the homomorphisms are equal to  $\phi$ , and let  $R \rightarrow R^{\text{perf}}$  be the natural inclusion. We claim  $R^{\text{perf}}$  is a perfect ring. Let  $R_{(i)}$  denote the  $i$ -th copy of  $R$  in the above sequence. By the definition of direct limit we have homomorphisms  $\phi_i : R_{(i)} \rightarrow R^{\text{perf}}$  which make the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & R_{(i+1)} \\ & \searrow \phi_i & \nearrow \phi_{i+1} \\ & R^{\text{perf}} & \end{array}$$

By a well-known property of the direct limit, any element  $r \in R^{\text{perf}}$  can be written as  $\phi_i(a)$ ,  $a \in R_{(i)}$  for some  $i$ . But then by the commutativity of the above diagram we know that  $\phi_i(a) = \phi_{i+1}(a^p) = \phi_{i+1}^p(a)$ , whence  $r$  is a  $p$ -th power of an element in  $R^{\text{perf}}$ , which is to say that  $R^{\text{perf}}$  is perfect.

Next, we show that  $R^{\text{perf}}$  satisfies the desired universal property. If we can show that there are maps  $R_{(i)} \rightarrow K$  such that the triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\phi^{j-i}} & R_{(j)} \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & & K \end{array}$$

commute then by the defining universal property of the direct limit we will have a unique homomorphism  $\alpha : R^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow K$ . Let  $\psi : K \rightarrow K$  denote the  $p$ -power map in  $K$ . It is a ring automorphism because  $K$  is perfect. We claim the maps  $\psi^{-i+1} \circ \phi : R_{(i)} \rightarrow K$  do the trick. Indeed, for any  $a \in R_{(i)}$  we have

$$\psi^{-j+1} \circ \phi \circ \phi^{j-i}(a) = \psi^{-j+1} \circ \phi^{j-i+1}(a) = \psi^{-i+1} \circ \phi(a),$$

because  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are both  $p$ -power maps. This means the required triangles are commutative.

It remains to show that  $R^{\text{perf}}$  is unique. Suppose there are two rings  $R^{\text{perf}}$  and  $R^{\text{perf}'}$  that satisfy the universal property. Then we can play them against each other, i.e., we let both  $R^{\text{perf}}$  and  $R^{\text{perf}'}$  take the role of  $K$  to obtain an isomorphism between  $R^{\text{perf}}$  and  $R^{\text{perf}'}$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.** Let  $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a collection of indeterminates and set  $R = \mathbb{F}_p[X_j; j \in J]$ . We claim that the perfect closure of  $R$  is the union

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}_p[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J].$$

First, note this union is a ring since it is the direct limit of the sequence of rings and inclusions

$$\mathbb{F}_p[X_j; j \in J] \subset \mathbb{F}_p[X_j^{p^{-1}}; j \in J] \subset \mathbb{F}_p[X_j^{p^{-2}}; j \in J] \subset \cdots$$

We will denote this ring by  $R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p)$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0]$ . To see that this is the perfect closure of  $R$  we will show it is the universal perfect ring for  $R$ . It is clear that  $R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p)$

is perfect: the  $p$ -th root of a polynomial is obtained by extracting  $p$ -th roots of its indeterminates because we are working over characteristic  $p$  and because a  $p$ -th root of an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (i.e., a coefficient) is itself.

Now suppose we have any other perfect ring  $K$  that contains  $R$ . Let  $Y_i$  be the image in  $K$  of  $X_i$  under the inclusion  $R \rightarrow K$ . We must exhibit a map  $\alpha : R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p) \rightarrow K$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ R & & \end{array}$$

We are forced to send  $X_i \mapsto Y_i$  under  $\alpha$  to ensure commutativity. Furthermore, if  $\alpha$  is to be a homomorphism then we must send  $X_i^{p^{-n}}$  to  $Y_i^{p^{-n}}$ . But then we have defined a map on the generators of  $R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p)$  which is a homomorphism (the only relations between the  $X_i^{p^{-n}}$  come from the  $p$ -power map, but we have taken care of these relations already). This establishes the existence and uniqueness of  $\alpha$ . Hence  $R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p)$  is the perfect closure of  $R$  as desired.

### 3 Existence of the Witt Ring

We now embark the proof of the existence of the ring of Witt vectors of a (characteristic  $p$ ) perfect ring  $\tilde{K}$ . To begin, we discuss systems of multiplicative representatives in a slightly more general context than was presented in the introduction.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative ring, separated and complete with respect to the sequence of ideals*

$$\cdots \subset I_{n+1} \subset I_n \subset \cdots \subset I_2 \subset I_1 \subset A$$

*which have the property that*

$$I_n \cdot I_m \subset I_{n+m}.$$

*Let  $\tilde{K} = A/I_1$  be the residue ring of  $A$ . Suppose  $\tilde{K}$  is perfect of characteristic  $p$ . Then there is a unique system of representatives of  $\tilde{K}$  in  $A$ , i.e., a map  $\iota : \tilde{K} \rightarrow A$  such that*

- $\iota(x^p) = \iota(x)^p$ ,
- $a \in A$  is one of these representatives if and only if  $a$  is a  $p^n$ -th power for all  $n \geq 0$ ,
- $\iota$  is multiplicative:  $\iota(x \cdot y) = \iota(x) \cdot \iota(y)$ ,
- if  $A$  is of characteristic  $p$  then  $\iota : \tilde{K} \rightarrow A$  is a ring homomorphism.

First we have two useful lemmas:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $a, b \in A$  and suppose  $a \equiv b \pmod{I_n}$ . Then  $a^p \equiv b^p \pmod{I_{n+1}}$ .*

*Proof.* First consider the case when  $p$  is odd. Using the binomial expansion we see that

$$(a - b)^p = a^p - b^p + p[-a^{p-1}b + \cdots + ab^{p-1}].$$

Consider the sum inside the square brackets modulo  $I_n$ . Since  $a \equiv b \pmod{I_n}$  each term in this sum is of the form  $ma^{p-k}b^k \equiv ma^p \pmod{I_n}$ . The sum of the *coefficients* inside the square brackets is zero (this is a consequence of the identity  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$ ). We conclude that  $-a^{p-1}b + \cdots + ab^{p-1} \in I_n$ . On the other hand  $p \in I_1$  because  $\tilde{K}$  has characteristic  $p$ , so

$$p[-a^{p-1}b + \cdots + ab^{p-1}] \in I_1 \cdot I_n \subset I_{n+1}.$$

Hence

$$0 \equiv (a - b)^p \equiv a^p - b^p \pmod{I_{n+1}},$$

as desired. If  $p = 2$  then  $(a - b)^2 \in I_n \cdot I_n \subset I_{2n} \subset I_{n+1}$ . And since  $ab \equiv b^2 \pmod{I_n}$  we have

$$(a - b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \equiv a^2 + b^2 - 2b^2 \equiv a^2 - b^2 \pmod{I_{n+1}}.$$

Therefore  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{I_{n+1}}$ . □

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A$  be as above and suppose its residue ring has characteristic  $p$ . If  $a^p \equiv b^p \pmod{I_1}$  for any elements  $a, b \in A$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{I_1}$ .*

*Proof.* We know  $a^p - b^p \in I_1$ , but  $a^p - b^p \equiv (a - b)^p \pmod{I_1}$  because  $\tilde{K}$  has characteristic  $p$ . Hence  $(a - b)^p \in I_1$ . Let  $\overline{a - b}$  be the reduction of  $a - b$  in  $\tilde{K}$ . Then  $(\overline{a - b})^p = 0$  and since the  $p$ -power map is an automorphism of  $\tilde{K}$  it follows that  $\overline{a - b} = 0$ , which is to say that  $a - b \in I_1$ , as desired. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We define the map  $\iota : \tilde{K} \rightarrow A$  as follows. Since  $\tilde{K}$  is perfect we have  $x^{p^{-n}} \in \tilde{K}$  for every  $x \in \tilde{K}$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $x_n \in A$  be a representative for  $x^{p^{-n}}$ , i.e.,  $x_n^{p^n}$  is in the equivalence class of  $x$  in  $\tilde{K}$  for every nonnegative  $n$ . We claim that  $(x_n^{p^n})_n$  is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, since  $x_{n+1}^{p^{n+1}} \equiv x_n^{p^n} \pmod{I_1}$ , we conclude using Lemma 4 that

$$x_{n+1}^p \equiv x_n \pmod{I_1}.$$

After  $n$  applications of Lemma 3 we find that

$$x_{n+1}^{p^{n+1}} \equiv x_n^{p^n} \pmod{I_{n+1}},$$

which is to say that the sequence  $(x_n^{p^n})_n$  is Cauchy with respect to the given filtration. Set

$$\iota(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{p^n},$$

and remark that  $\iota(x) \in A$  by completeness of  $A$ . We claim that  $\iota(x)$  depends only on  $x$  and not on the sequence  $(x_n^{p^n})_n$ . Indeed, take a different set  $(x'_n)_n$  of elements of  $A$  such that  $x'_n$  is a representative of  $x^{p^{-n}}$ . Then by repeated application of Lemma 4 to the congruence  $x_n^{p^n} \equiv x'_n \pmod{I_1}$  we see that  $x'_n \equiv x_n \pmod{I_1}$  and so again by Lemma 3 we have

$$x_n'^{p^n} \equiv x_n^{p^n} \pmod{I_{n+1}},$$

which means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{p^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{p^n} = \iota(x).$$

Next, we show that  $\iota$  commutes with the  $p$ -th power map. Suppose  $x = y^p$ . Then the  $p$ -th power map takes a representative  $y_n$  of  $y^{p^{-n}}$  to  $y_n^p$ , and since

$$(y_n^p)^{p^n} = (y_n^{p^n})^p \in y^p = x,$$

it follows that  $y_n^p$  is a representative of  $x^{p^{-n}}$ . We have shown that  $\iota$  is independent of the choice of representatives, so passing to the limit it follows that the  $p$ -power map takes  $\iota(y)$  to  $\iota(x)$ , i.e.,

$$\iota(y)^p = \iota(x) = \iota(y^p),$$

as desired.

Since  $\tilde{K}$  is perfect and  $\iota$  commutes with the  $p$ -th power map an element  $a \in A$  is a representative only if  $a$  is a  $p^n$ -th power for all  $n \geq 0$ . Indeed, if  $a = \iota(x)$  then there is a  $x_1 \in \tilde{K}$  such that  $x = x_1^p$ , which means  $a = \iota(x_1^p) = \iota(x_1)^p$ , etc. Conversely, if  $a \in A$  is a  $p^n$ -th power for all  $n \geq 0$ , say  $a = a_n^{p^n}$ , then we can show  $a$  is a representative. Let  $x$  be the image of  $a$  under reduction. We show that  $a = \iota(x)$ . It would be enough to show that

$$a \equiv \iota(x) \pmod{I_n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Since  $\iota(x)$  is a  $p^n$ -th power for all  $n$  we may write  $\iota(x) = x_n^{p^n}$ . Since  $a \equiv \iota(x) \pmod{I_1}$  we have

$$a_n^{p^n} \equiv x_n^{p^n} \pmod{I_1}.$$

Successive applications of Lemma 4 yield the congruence

$$a_n \equiv x_n \pmod{I_1}.$$

and then applying Lemma 3 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{p^n} &\equiv x_n^{p^n} \pmod{I_{n+1}} \\ \implies a &\equiv \iota(x) \pmod{I_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

To see that the system of representatives of  $\tilde{K}$  in  $A$  is unique, suppose there is another system  $\iota' : \tilde{K} \rightarrow A$  that commutes with the  $p$ -th power map. Then  $\iota'(x)$  is a  $p^n$ -th power for all  $n \geq 0$ , which is to say  $\iota'(x)$  is a representative for  $x^{p^{-n}}$  for all  $n$ . These representatives form a Cauchy sequence converging to  $\iota(x)$ , hence  $\iota'(x) = \iota(x)$ .

To see that  $\iota(xy) = \iota(x)\iota(y)$  we note that

$$\iota(xy) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n \cdot y_n)^{p^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{p^n} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n^{p^n} = \iota(x)\iota(y).$$

Finally, if  $A$  has characteristic  $p$  then

$$\iota(x + y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n)^{p^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n^{p^n} + y_n^{p^n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{p^n} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n^{p^n} = \iota(x) + \iota(y),$$

so  $\iota$  is a ring homomorphism in this case. □

We are interested in the particular case of Theorem 2 when  $p$  is not a zero-divisor in  $A$  and  $I_n = p^n A$  for all  $n \geq 0$  (the  $p$ -adic filtration). We claim that, in this case, for every sequence  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots)$  of elements in  $\tilde{K}$  there is an element  $\alpha$  of  $A$  which is the limit of the Cauchy sequence of truncated sums in

$$\alpha = \iota(\alpha_0) + \iota(\alpha_1) \cdot p + \iota(\alpha_2) \cdot p^2 + \dots + \iota(\alpha_n) \cdot p^n + \dots \quad (1)$$

Indeed, let  $S_n = \iota(\alpha_0) + \iota(\alpha_1) \cdot p + \iota(\alpha_2) \cdot p^2 + \dots + \iota(\alpha_n) \cdot p^n$ . Since  $\iota(\alpha_j) \in A$  for every  $j$  we have  $\iota(\alpha_j) \cdot p^j \in p^j A$ . This means

$$S_{n+1} \equiv S_n \pmod{p^{n+1}A},$$

which is to say that  $(S_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence for the  $p$ -adic filtration. By completeness of  $A$  we have

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \in A.$$

Conversely, every  $\alpha$  in  $A$  has a unique such representation. Indeed, by definition of the system of representatives there is an  $\iota(\alpha_0)$  such that  $\alpha - \iota(\alpha_0) \in pA$ . But then

$$\alpha = \iota(\alpha_0) + a_1 \cdot p, \quad a_1 \in A.$$

By the same reasoning there is an  $\iota(\alpha_1)$  such that  $a_1 - \iota(\alpha_1) \in pA$ . This means  $a_1 = \iota(\alpha_1) + a_2 \cdot p$  for some  $a_2 \in A$ , and hence

$$\alpha = \iota(\alpha_0) + \iota(\alpha_1) \cdot p + a_2 \cdot p^2, \quad a_2 \in A.$$

Continuing in this fashion we obtain a representation for  $\alpha$  like that in (1). Furthermore, this representation is unique, for if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \iota(\alpha_0) + \iota(\alpha_1) \cdot p + \iota(\alpha_2) \cdot p^2 + \dots + \iota(\alpha_n) \cdot p^n + \dots \\ &= \iota(\beta_0) + \iota(\beta_1) \cdot p + \iota(\beta_2) \cdot p^2 + \dots + \iota(\beta_n) \cdot p^n + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

then  $\iota(\alpha_0) \equiv \iota(\beta_0) \pmod{p}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$  by definition of  $\iota$ , and then a simple induction shows  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for all  $i$ . We say that  $\alpha$  has coordinates  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$ .

We will show that if the ring of Witt vectors for  $\tilde{K}$  exists, then it is unique up to isomorphism. We will need two lemmas to prove this result.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be rings that are separated and complete with respect to the  $p$ -adic filtration and have residue rings  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tilde{K}'$ , respectively. Every homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$  commutes with multiplicative representatives.*

*Proof.* We are claiming the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A' \\ \iota_A \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota_{A'} \\ \tilde{K} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \tilde{K}' \end{array}$$

Let  $x$  be an element of  $\tilde{K}$ . Since  $\iota(x) \in A$  is a multiplicative representative, it is a  $p^n$ -th power for all  $n \geq 0$ . We write  $\iota(x) = x_n^{p^n}$ . But  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, and so

$$\phi(\iota(x)) = \phi(x_n^{p^n}) = \phi(x_n)^{p^n}.$$

This means  $\phi(\iota(x)) \in A'$  is a  $p^n$ -th power for all  $n$  and is consequently a multiplicative representative for  $\tilde{K}'$ . In other words

$$\phi(\iota(x)) = \iota_{A'}(y) \quad (2)$$

for some  $y \in \tilde{K}'$ . Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow \tilde{K}$  be the reduction mod  $p$  homomorphism, and similarly for  $\pi' : A' \rightarrow \tilde{K}'$ . We apply  $\pi'$  to (2) to obtain

$$\pi' \circ \phi \circ \iota(x) = \pi' \circ \iota_{A'}(y) = y.$$

By definition of reduction mod  $p$  we know  $\bar{\phi} \circ \pi = \pi' \circ \phi$ , hence

$$y = \pi' \circ \phi \circ \iota(x) = \bar{\phi} \circ \pi \circ \iota(x) = \bar{\phi}(x).$$

In view of (2) we conclude that  $\phi \circ \iota(x) = \iota_{A'} \circ \bar{\phi}(x)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $A$  be a complete and separated ring with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology, with residue ring  $\tilde{K}$ . Let  $a, b$  be two elements of  $A$  with coordinates  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$  and  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots)$ , respectively, and let  $*$  denote one of the operations  $+$  or  $\times$ . Then  $a * b$  has coordinates  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots)$ , with  $\gamma_i = Q_i^*(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots)$  for some  $Q_i^* \in \mathbb{F}_p[X_i^{p^{-n}}, X_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j, n \geq 0]$ .*

We postpone the proof of this lemma until we have enough machinery at our disposal to tackle it.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be rings that are separated and complete with respect to the  $p$ -adic filtration and have residue rings  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tilde{K}'$ , respectively. Then any homomorphism of rings  $\tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}'$  comes (by reduction mod  $p$ ) from a unique homomorphism of rings  $A \rightarrow A'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in A$  have coordinates  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots)$ . Then a homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$  that comes (via reduction mod  $p$ ) from a given homomorphism  $\bar{\phi} : \tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}'$  must satisfy

$$\phi(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi \circ \iota(\alpha_i) \cdot p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota_{A'} \circ \bar{\phi}(\alpha_i) \cdot p^i, \quad (3)$$

(the second equality is a consequence of Lemma 5). This gives uniqueness of  $\phi$ . To show existence, we take (3) as the definition for  $\phi$  and show it is a ring homomorphism.

Let  $a, b$  be two elements of  $A$  with coordinates  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$  and  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots)$ , respectively. Let  $*$  denote one of the operations  $+$  or  $\times$ . Since  $A$  is a ring  $a * b$  has coordinates  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots)$ , for some  $\gamma_i$ . In other words

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\alpha_i) \cdot p^i * \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\beta_i) \cdot p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\gamma_i) \cdot p^i, \quad (4)$$

By (3) we have

$$g(a * b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi \circ \iota(\gamma_i) \cdot p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota_{A'} \circ \bar{\phi}(\gamma_i) \cdot p^i.$$

On the other hand,  $\bar{\phi}(a * b) = \bar{\phi}(a) * \bar{\phi}(b)$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(a) * g(b) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi \circ \iota(\alpha_i) \cdot p^i * \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi \circ \iota(\beta_i) \cdot p^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota_{A'} \circ \bar{\phi}(\alpha_i) \cdot p^i * \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota_{A'} \circ \bar{\phi}(\beta_i) \cdot p^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota_{A'} \circ (\delta_i) \cdot p^i, \end{aligned}$$

where, by Lemma 6 we have

$$\delta_i = Q_i^*(\bar{\phi}(\alpha_0), \dots, \bar{\phi}(\beta_0), \dots) = \bar{\phi}(Q_i^*(\alpha_0, \dots, \beta_0, \dots)) = \bar{\phi}(\gamma_i).$$

This shows that  $g(a * b) = g(a) * g(b)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** *Given a perfect ring  $\tilde{K}$  of characteristic  $p$  there is at most one ring  $A$  complete and separated with respect to the  $p$ -adic filtration with residue ring equal to  $\tilde{K}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be two rings, complete and separated with respect to the  $p$ -adic filtration, both of which have residue ring equal to  $\tilde{K}$ . Consider the identity isomorphism  $id : \tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ . By Theore 7 this isomorphism comes from a unique homomorphism of rings  $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$  that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A' \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ \tilde{K} & \xrightarrow{id} & \tilde{K} \end{array}$$

By the same token, the inverse of  $id$  (which we also denote  $id$  for obvious reasons) comes from a ring homomorphism  $\phi' : A' \rightarrow A$  that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xleftarrow{\phi'} & A' \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ \tilde{K} & \xleftarrow{id} & \tilde{K} \end{array}$$

We know the maps  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are unique. The commutativity of the above diagrams shows that  $\phi \circ \phi' = id_A$  and  $\phi' \circ \phi = id_{A'}$ ; the uniqueness of  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  afforded by our construction shows these isomorphisms are canonical.  $\square$

We refer to the ring of Corollary 8 as  $W(\tilde{K})$ . It may or it may not exist for a given perfect ring  $\tilde{K}$  of characteristic  $p$ . The ring  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$  does exist, for example. We may take  $W(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$  because the  $p$ -adic integers form a complete separated ring with respect to the  $p$ -adic filtration and its residue ring is  $\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_p$ .

We remark that if  $W(\tilde{K})$  does exist, then it is canonically a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra. Let  $W(\tilde{K}) = A$  for convenience. Since  $A$  is complete with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology we know that

$$A \cong \varprojlim_n A/p^n A. \quad (5)$$

We may consider the ring  $A$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and extend the unique homomorphism  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$  to a homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow A$  via the map

$$\varprojlim_n m_n \mapsto \varprojlim_n f(m_n),$$

This map is well-defined by (5); it shows  $A$  is canonically a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra.

Recall our goal is to show that  $W(\tilde{K})$  exists for every perfect ring  $\tilde{K}$  of characteristic  $p$ . We begin with a particular case of great importance for our purposes.

**Example 2.** Given a collection of indeterminates  $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$  we have the ascending chain

$$\mathbb{Z}[X_j; j \in J] \subset \mathbb{Z}[X_j^{p^{-1}}; j \in J] \subset \mathbb{Z}[X_j^{p^{-2}}; j \in J] \subset \cdots.$$

We may take the direct limit of these rings under inclusions to form a ring

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J] =: \mathbb{Z}[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0].$$

Let  $\mathcal{W}(X_j; j \in J; p) := \varprojlim \mathcal{A}/p^n \mathcal{A}$  be the projective limit of the quotients  $\mathcal{A}/p^n \mathcal{A}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We claim that

$$\mathcal{W}(X_j; j \in J; p) = W(R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p)),$$

that is, the ring  $\mathcal{W}(X_j; j \in J; p)$  is complete and separated in the  $p$ -adic topology and its residue ring is the perfect closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p[X_j; j \in J]$ . The ring  $\mathcal{W}(X_j; j \in J; p)$  is complete with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology by its definition (completions are complete). To show it is separated we must prove that  $\bigcap p^n \mathcal{A} = 0$ , but this is clear because a polynomial will be in this intersection only if its coefficients are divisible by arbitrary powers of  $p$  and  $\bigcap p^n \mathbb{Z} = 0$ . Finally, we must show that  $\mathcal{W}/p\mathcal{W} = R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p)$ .

Note that

$$\mathcal{W} \cong \varprojlim_n (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0] = \mathbb{Z}_p[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0]$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}/p\mathcal{W} &\cong (\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p)[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0] \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_p[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0] = R^{\text{perf}}(X_j; j \in J; p). \end{aligned}$$

With this example in the bag, we can now prove Lemma 6 and thus finish the proof of Theorem 7.

*Proof of Lemma 6.* We want to show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\alpha_i) \cdot p^i * \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\beta_i) \cdot p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\gamma_i) \cdot p^i,$$

for  $\gamma_i$  as above.

We begin by noting that if  $x$  and  $y$  are elements of  $\mathcal{W}(X_i, Y_j; i, j \geq 0; p)$  then

$$x * y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f(Q_i^*) p^i \quad \text{for some } Q_i^* \in \mathbb{F}_p[X_i^{p^{-n}}, Y_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j, n \geq 0]$$

because  $x * y$  is an element of the ring  $\mathcal{W}(X_i, Y_j; i, j \geq 0; p)$ . There is a homomorphism

$$f : \mathbb{Z}[X_i^{p^{-n}}, Y_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j, n \geq 0] \rightarrow A$$

which maps  $X_i$  to  $\iota(\alpha_i)$  and  $Y_i$  to  $\iota(\beta_i)$ . We may extend this homomorphism by continuity to the completion  $\mathcal{W}(X_i, Y_j; i, j \geq 0; p)$  so that

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i p^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\alpha_i) p^i \quad \text{and} \quad y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i p^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\beta_i) p^i$$

We may reduce  $f$  to a homomorphism  $\bar{f} : \mathbb{F}_p[X_i^{p^{-n}}, Y_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j, n \geq 0] \rightarrow \tilde{K}$  that takes  $X_i$  to  $\alpha_i$  and  $Y_i$  to  $\beta_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \iota(\alpha_i) p^i * \sum \iota(\beta_i) p^i &= f(x) * f(y) = f(x * y) \\ &= \sum f(\iota(Q_i^*)) p^i = \sum \iota(\bar{f}(Q_i^*)) p^i. \end{aligned}$$

□

We are almost ready to show that  $W(\tilde{K})$  exists. We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.** *If  $f : \tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}'$  is a surjective homomorphism of perfect rings of characteristic  $p$ , and if  $W(\tilde{K})$  exists, then  $W(\tilde{K}')$  also exists and is a quotient ring of  $W(\tilde{K})$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $W(\tilde{K})$  with coordinates  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$  and  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots)$ , respectively. We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are equivalent and write  $a \cong b$  if  $f(\alpha_i) = f(\beta_i)$  for all indices  $i$ .

Note that if  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b'$  then  $a * b \equiv a' * b'$  by virtue of (4). This means that the quotient of  $A$  under this equivalence relation is a ring, which we label  $A'$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $A'$  and let  $a$  be a lift of  $x$  in  $A$  with coordinates  $\alpha_i$ . Set  $\zeta_i = f(\alpha_i)$  (note the  $\zeta_i$  are independent of the chosen lift). By the definition of our equivalence relation, every sequence  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots)$  of elements in  $\tilde{K}'$  gives coordinates for a uniquely determined element  $x \in A'$ . By the definition of ‘coordinates’, the multiplication by  $p$  map *shifts* the coordinates of an element in  $A'$ :

$$(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots) \xrightarrow{p} (0, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots)$$

We readily infer that  $p$  is not a zero divisor in  $A'$  and  $\bigcap p^n A' = 0$ , which is to say that  $A'$  is separated under the  $p$ -adic topology. Since  $A'$  is a quotient ring of a complete ring it is itself complete. Finally, we claim the residue ring of  $A'$  is  $\tilde{K}'$ . We need only produce an isomorphism  $A'/pA' \cong \tilde{K}'$ . The map  $x \mapsto \zeta_0$  does the trick. It is clear it is a homomorphism and that its kernel is  $pA'$ . The only problem could be surjectivity. Let  $\zeta_0$  be an element of  $\tilde{K}'$ . Then there is an  $\alpha_0 \in \tilde{K}$  such that  $f(\alpha_0) = \zeta_0$  because  $f$  is surjective by hypothesis. Then the equivalence class  $x \in A'$  of any element with coordinates  $(\alpha_0, \dots)$  in  $\tilde{K}$  will map to  $\zeta_0$ . □

We are now in a position to prove our main result.

**Theorem 10.** *Given a perfect ring  $\tilde{K}$  of characteristic  $p$ , there exists a ring  $W(\tilde{K})$  complete and separated with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology whose residue ring is  $\tilde{K}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{K}$  is of the form  $\mathbb{F}_p[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0]$  for a set of indeterminates  $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$  then Example 2 shows we may take  $W(\tilde{K}) = \mathcal{W}(X_j; j \in J; p)$ .

Any perfect ring  $\tilde{K}$  of characteristic  $p$  admits a surjective homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathbb{F}_p[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0] \rightarrow \tilde{K}$$

for some set  $J$ . Just take  $J = \tilde{K}$  and send  $X_j \mapsto j$  and then extend by linearity to get the desired homomorphism. Since  $W(\mathbb{F}_p[X_j^{p^{-n}}; j \in J, n \geq 0])$  exists,  $W(\tilde{K})$  also exists by Lemma 9.  $\square$

#### 4 Construction of the Witt Ring: Witt Vectors

In this second half of the paper we give a construction for  $W(\tilde{K})$  for a perfect field  $\tilde{K}$  of prime characteristic  $p$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots)$  a sequence of indeterminates. The *Witt polynomials*  $(W_0, W_1, \dots, W_n, \dots)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots]$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 &= X_0, \\ W_1 &= X_0^p + pX_1, \\ &\vdots \\ W_n &= X_0^{p^n} + pX_1^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + p^n X_n, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

We claim we can express  $X_n$  as a polynomial in  $W_0, W_1, \dots, W_n$  if we invert  $p$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Z}[1/p][W_0, W_1, \dots, W_n, \dots] = \mathbb{Z}[1/p][X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots] \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

To see this we use induction on  $n$ . Since  $W_0 = X_0$  the claim is certainly true for  $n = 0$ . Suppose that  $X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}$  can all be expressed as polynomial in  $W_0, \dots, W_{n-1}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ , say

$$X_i = Q_i(W_0, \dots, W_i) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \frac{1}{p^n} (W_n - X_0^{p^n} - pX_1^{p^{n-1}} - \dots - p^{n-1}X_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^n} (W_n - Q_0^{p^n} - pQ_1^{p^{n-1}} - \dots - p^{n-1}Q_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and it is clear by induction hypothesis that this last expression is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p][W_0, \dots, W_n]$ .

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
X_0 &= W_0 \\
X_1 &= \frac{1}{p}(W_1 - W_0^p), \\
X_2 &= \frac{1}{p^2} \left( W_2 - p \left[ \frac{1}{p}(W_1 - W_0^p) \right]^p - W_0^{p^2} \right), \\
X_3 &= \frac{1}{p^3} \left( W_3 - p^2 \left[ \frac{1}{p^2} \left( W_2 - p \left[ \frac{1}{p}(W_1 - W_0^p) \right]^p - W_0^{p^2} \right) \right]^p - p \left[ \frac{1}{p}(W_1 - W_0^p) \right]^{p^2} - W_0^{p^3} \right), \\
X_4 &= \frac{1}{p^4} \left( W_4 - p^3 \left[ \frac{1}{p^3} \left( W_3 - p^2 \left[ \frac{1}{p^2} \left( W_2 - p \left[ \frac{1}{p}(W_1 - W_0^p) \right]^p - W_0^{p^2} \right) \right]^p \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - p \left[ \frac{1}{p}(W_1 - W_0^p) \right]^{p^2} - W_0^{p^3} \right]^{p^3} - p^2 \left[ \frac{1}{p^3} \left( W_3 - p^2 \left[ \frac{1}{p^2} \left( W_2 - p \left[ \frac{1}{p}(W_1 - W_0^p) \right]^p - W_0^{p^2} \right) \right]^p \right) \right]^{p^2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - p \left[ \frac{1}{p}(W_1 - W_0^p) \right]^p - W_0^{p^4} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots)$  and  $(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \dots)$  be two (different!) sequences of independent indeterminates, and let  $X, Y$  be two more of these. For every polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  there is a sequence of polynomials*

$$F_0, F_1, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots; Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \dots]$$

such that

$$W_n(F_0, F_1, \dots) = F(W_n(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n), W_n(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n))$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* We follow the ideas set out in Serre's *Local Fields* (§II.6, Thm. 6). To begin, we show the polynomials  $F_i$  exist if one allows them to have coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ . First set  $n = 0$  to get

$$F_0 = W_0(F_0) = F(W_0(X_0), W_0(Y_0)) = F(X_0, Y_0);$$

we define  $F_0$  to be  $F(X_0, Y_0)$ . Next put  $n = 1$  to get

$$F_0^p + pF_1 = W(F_0, F_1) = F(X_0^p + pX_1, Y_0^p + pY_1).$$

Then define  $F_1$  as

$$\frac{1}{p}(F(X_0^p + pX_1, Y_0^p + pY_1) - F_0^p).$$

Proceeding inductively it is clear that the  $F_i$  exist and are unique when we allow them to have coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ . The trick is to show that despite appearances the coefficients are integral.

Consider the ring  $\mathcal{W}(X_i, Y_j; i, j \geq 0; p)$  (which we will abbreviate by  $\mathcal{W}$  for clarity) and let

$$x' = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i^{p^{-i}} p^i \quad \text{and} \quad y' = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i^{p^{-i}} p^i.$$

We may write

$$F(x', y') = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\overline{\phi}_i)^{p^{-i}} p^i, \quad \overline{\phi}_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[X_i^{p^{-n}}, Y_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j \geq 0; n \geq 0] \quad (6)$$

because  $F(x', y') \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = W(\mathbb{F}_p[X_i^{p^{-n}}, Y_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j \geq 0; n \geq 0])$  (see Example 2).

Let  $\phi_i$  be a representative of  $\overline{\phi}_i$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ . We show that  $F_i \equiv \phi_i \pmod{p}$  and  $F_i$  has integral coefficients. Begin by noting that

$$F \left( \sum_{i \leq n} X_i^{p^{-i}} p^i, \sum_{i \leq n} Y_i^{p^{-i}} p^i \right) \equiv \sum_{i \leq n} \iota(\overline{\phi}_i(X, Y))^{p^{-i}} p^i \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

Now replace  $X_i$  by  $X_i^{p^n}$  and  $Y_i$  by  $Y_i^{p^n}$  to get

$$F(W_n(X_0, \dots, X_n), W_n(Y_0, \dots, Y_n)) \equiv \sum_{i \leq n} \iota(\overline{\phi}_i(X_0^{p^n}, \dots, Y_0^{p^n}, \dots))^{p^{-i}} p^i \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

Since the coefficients of  $\overline{\phi}_i$  are elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  we know that

$$\overline{\phi}_i(X_0^{p^n}, \dots, Y_0^{p^n}, \dots) = \overline{\phi}_i(X_0, \dots, Y_0, \dots)^{p^n}.$$

Also, recall that multiplicative representatives commute with the  $p$ -power map, so

$$W_n(F_0, \dots, F_n) = F(W_n(X_0, \dots, X_n), W_n(Y_0, \dots, Y_n)) \equiv \sum_{i \leq n} \iota(\overline{\phi}_i)^{p^{n-i}} p^i \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

Since  $\iota(\overline{\phi}_i) \equiv \phi_i \pmod{p}$  we have  $\iota(\overline{\phi}_i)^{p^{n-i}} \equiv \phi_i^{p^{n-i}} \pmod{p^{n-i+1}}$  (see Lemma 3), and so

$$W_n(F_0, \dots, F_n) \equiv \sum_{i \leq n} \iota(\overline{\phi}_i)^{p^{n-i}} p^i \pmod{p^{n+1}} \equiv \sum_{i \leq n} \phi_i^{p^{n-i}} p^i \equiv W_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_n) \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

We may now use induction to show that the  $F_i$  have integral coefficients and are congruent to  $\phi_i \pmod{p}$ . This is certainly true for  $F_0$  as we saw above, and if it is true for all  $F_i$  with  $i < n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(F_0, \dots, F_n) &\equiv W_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_n) \pmod{p^{n+1}} \\ \implies F_0^{p^n} + pF_1^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + p^n F_n &\equiv \phi_0^{p^n} + p\phi_1^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + p^n \phi_n \pmod{p^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

By inductive hypothesis  $F_i \equiv \phi_i \pmod{p}$  for all  $i < n$ . Hence  $p^j F_i^{p^{n-j}} \equiv p^j \phi_i^{p^{n-j}} \pmod{p^{n+1}}$  for  $i < n$  (see Lemma 3). We conclude that

$$p^n F_n \equiv p^n \phi_n \pmod{p^{n+1}}$$

and so  $F_n$  has integral coefficients and  $F_n \equiv \phi_n \pmod{p}$ , as desired.

**Example 3.** Let  $F = S(X, Y) := X + Y$ . There is a sequence of polynomials  $S_n := F_n$  such that

$$W_n(S_0, S_1, \dots) = W_n(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) + W_n(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . We compute  $S_0, S_1$  and  $S_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(S_0) &= W_0(X_0) + W_0(Y_0), \\ \implies S_0 &= X_0 + Y_0. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(S_0, S_1) &= W_1(X_0, X_1) + W_1(Y_0, Y_1), \\ \implies S_0^p + pS_1 &= X_0^p + pX_1 + Y_0^p + pY_1, \\ \implies S_1 &= X_1 + Y_1 + \frac{X_0^p + Y_0^p - (X_0 + Y_0)^p}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(S_0, S_1, S_2) &= W_2(X_0, X_1, X_2) + W_2(Y_0, Y_1, Y_2), \\ \implies S_0^{p^2} + pS_1^p + p^2S_2 &= X_0^{p^2} + pX_1^p + p^2X_2 + Y_0^{p^2} + pY_1^p + p^2Y_2, \\ \implies S_2 &= X_2 + Y_2 + \frac{1}{p}(X_1^p + Y_1^p - S_1^p) + \frac{1}{p^2}(X_0^{p^2} + Y_0^{p^2} - S_0^{p^2}). \\ \implies S_2 &= X_2 + Y_2 + \frac{1}{p} \left( X_1^p + Y_1^p - \left[ X_1 + Y_1 + \frac{X_0^p + Y_0^p - (X_0 + Y_0)^p}{p} \right]^p \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p^2}(X_0^{p^2} + Y_0^{p^2} - (X_0 + Y_0)^{p^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, as expected, the coefficients of  $S_0, S_1$  and  $S_2$  are integers since any expression of the form  $(A + B)^{p^n} - A^{p^n} - B^{p^n}$  has all its coefficients divisible by  $p^n$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $F = P(X, Y) := X \cdot Y$ . There is a sequence of polynomials  $P_n := F_n$  such that

$$W_n(P_0, P_1, \dots) = W_n(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) \cdot W_n(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . We compute  $P_0, P_1$  and  $P_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(P_0) &= W_0(X_0) \cdot W_0(Y_0), \\ \implies P_0 &= X_0 \cdot Y_0. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(P_0, P_1) &= W_1(X_0, X_1) \cdot W_1(Y_0, Y_1), \\ \implies P_0^p + pP_1 &= (X_0^p + pX_1) \cdot (Y_0^p + pY_1), \\ \implies P_1 &= X_0^p Y_1 + X_1 Y_0^p + pX_1 Y_1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(P_0, P_1, P_2) &= W_2(X_0, X_1, X_2) \cdot W_2(Y_0, Y_1, Y_2), \\ \implies P_0^{p^2} + pP_1^p + p^2P_2 &= (X_0^{p^2} + pX_1^p + p^2X_2) \cdot (Y_0^{p^2} + pY_1^p + p^2Y_2), \\ \implies P_2 &= \frac{1}{p}(X_0^{p^2} Y_1^p + X_1^p Y_0^{p^2}) + (X_0^{p^2} Y_2 + X_1^p Y_1^p + X_2 Y_0^{p^2}) \\ &\quad + p(X_1^p Y_2 + X_2 Y_1^p) + p^2 X_2 Y_2 - \frac{1}{p} P_1^p \end{aligned}$$

Note again that, as expected, the coefficients of  $P_0, P_1$  and  $P_2$  are integers (the only terms with non-integral coefficients in  $(1/p)P_1^p$  are  $X_0^{p^2}Y_1^p$  and  $X_1^pY_0^{p^2}$ , and they canceled in the above sum).

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the non-negative integers. Let  $\tilde{K}$  be a perfect ring of characteristic  $p$ . Define sum and product operations,  $+$  and  $\times$  on  $\tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by positing, for  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots) \in \tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $b = (b_0, b_1, \dots) \in \tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &= (S_0(a, b), S_1(a, b), \dots) \\ \text{and } a \times b &= (P_0(a, b), P_1(a, b), \dots). \end{aligned}$$

We will show this puts a commutative ring structure on  $\tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and that  $\tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is the ring of Witt vectors over  $\tilde{K}$ .

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $R$  be any commutative ring such that  $p$  is invertible in  $R$ . The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : R^{\mathbb{N}} &\rightarrow R^{\mathbb{N}} \\ (a_0, a_1, \dots) &\mapsto (W_0(a_0), W_1(a_0, a_1), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

*is a bijection.*

*Proof.* First we show injectivity. Suppose  $a, b \in R^{\mathbb{N}}$  are such that  $\tau(a) = \tau(b)$ , so certainly  $a_0 = b_0$ . Assume inductively that  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Then by definition of  $\tau$  we'd also have  $p^n a_n = p^n b_n$  and since  $p$  is invertible in  $R$  this means  $a_n = b_n$ . Hence  $\tau$  is injective.

Now we show surjectivity. Let  $b \in R^{\mathbb{N}}$  be given. Set

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= b_0, \\ a_1 &= \frac{1}{p}(b_1 - a_0^p), \\ a_2 &= \frac{1}{p^2}(b_2 - pa_1 - a_0^{p^2}), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\tau(a) = b$ . □

Now we show that with the above structure  $\tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a commutative ring. Put  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_j; j \in J]$  and let  $R' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/p^n)R$  so  $p$  is invertible in  $R$ . Denote (for clarity) by  $(R')^{\mathbb{N}}$  the ring of Witt vectors over  $R'$ . Then by Lemma 12  $\tau : (R')^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow R'^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a bijection and it preserves  $+$  and  $\times$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(a + b) &= \tau((S_0(a, b), S_1(a, b), \dots)) = (W_0(S_0), W_1(S_0, S_1), \dots) \\ &= (W_0(a_0), W_1(a_0, a_1), \dots) + (W_0(b_0), W_1(b_0, b_1), \dots) = \tau(a) + \tau(b) \\ \text{and } \tau(a \times b) &= \tau((P_0(a, b), P_1(a, b), \dots)) = (W_0(P_0), W_1(P_0, P_1), \dots) \\ &= (W_0(a_0), W_1(a_0, a_1), \dots) \cdot (W_0(b_0), W_1(b_0, b_1), \dots) = \tau(a) \cdot \tau(b). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R'^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a commutative ring under component-wise addition and multiplication it follows that  $(R')^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a commutative ring under Witt addition and multiplication. Furthermore, since the polynomials  $S_i$  and  $P_i$  have integral coefficients for all  $i$ , it follows that the subset  $R^{\mathbb{N}}$  of  $(R')^{\mathbb{N}}$  is closed under addition and multiplication and is therefore a *subring* of  $(R')^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

The ring  $\tilde{K}$  is a quotient of  $R$  by some ideal. From the canonical surjective homomorphism  $R \rightarrow \tilde{K}$  we obtain a surjective homomorphism of Witt rings  $R^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and so  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$  is also a commutative ring under Witt addition and multiplication.

We now show that the ring  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$  furnished with Witt addition and multiplication is the ring of Witt vectors  $W(\tilde{K})$  of  $\tilde{K}$ .

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $\tilde{K}$  be a perfect ring of characteristic  $p$ . The rings  $W(\tilde{K})$  and  $\tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\iota : \tilde{K} \rightarrow W(\tilde{K})$  be a multiplicative system of representatives. Let  $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots) \in \tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}} &\rightarrow W(\tilde{K}) \\ a &\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\alpha_i)^{p^{-i}} p^i. \end{aligned}$$

□

Consider the special case  $\tilde{K} = \mathbb{F}_p[X_i^{p^{-n}}, Y_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j, n \geq 0]$ , so that  $W(\tilde{K}) = \mathcal{W}(X_i, Y_j; i, j \geq 0; p)$ . We claim in this case the formulas

$$\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(a \times b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$$

hold when  $X_i = \iota(\alpha_1)$  and  $Y_i = \iota(\beta_i)$ . Indeed, let  $F(\phi(a), \phi(b)) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ ; then applying (6) we see that

$$\phi(a) + \phi(b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\bar{\phi}_i)^{p^{-i}} p^i, \quad \text{for some } \bar{\phi}_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[X_i^{p^{-n}}, Y_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j, n \geq 0]$$

Let  $\phi_i$  be a representative for  $\bar{\phi}_i$  in  $\mathcal{W}(X_i, Y_j; i, j \geq 0; p)$ . We saw in the course of the proof to Theorem 11 that  $\phi_i \equiv S_i \pmod{p}$  (here the  $F_i$  of Theorem 11 are just  $S_i$  because  $F(X, Y) = X + Y$ ). Hence

$$\phi(a) + \phi(b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\bar{S}_i)^{p^{-i}} p^i.$$

Since  $\bar{S}_i$  and  $S_i$  are equal *as functions* over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  we obtain

$$\phi(a) + \phi(b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(S_i(a, b))^{p^{-i}} p^i = \phi(a + b).$$

A similar argument shows that  $\phi(a \times b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$  in this special case. Since our argument works for the set of indeterminates in  $\tilde{K}$ , the result holds for every pair of elements  $a, b \in \tilde{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , i.e., the map

$$\phi : \mathbb{F}_p[X_i^{p^{-n}}, Y_j^{p^{-n}}; i, j, n \geq 0]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(X_i, Y_j; i, j \geq 0; p)$$

is a ring homomorphism. It is clear that it is a bijection, so it is an isomorphism. □

As an example, we consider the finite field  $\tilde{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q$  a power of  $p$ . We claim the Frobenius map of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  lifts to a unique automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathbb{N}} \cong W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The unique lift is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathbb{N}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathbb{N}} \\ a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots) &\mapsto (\alpha_0^p, \alpha_1^p, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

To see why this map is even a ring homomorphism, let us agree that  $a^p = (\alpha_0^p, \alpha_1^p, \dots)$ , by abuse of notation. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a + b) &= \psi((S_0(a, b), S_1(a, b), \dots)) = (S_0(a, b)^p, S_1(a, b)^p, \dots) \\ &= (S_0(a^p, b^p), S_1(a^p, b^p), \dots) = \psi(a) + \psi(b) \\ \text{and } \psi(a \times b) &= \psi((P_0(a, b), P_1(a, b), \dots)) = (P_0(a, b)^p, P_1(a, b)^p, \dots) \\ &= (P_0(a^p, b^p), P_1(a^p, b^p), \dots) = \psi(a) \times \psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

It is quite clear that  $\psi$  is a bijection (because the Frobenius map of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a bijection) and that  $\psi$  reduces to the Frobenius map of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , so it gives the desired automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

It is natural to ask if the lift of the Frobenius map and the multiplication by  $p$  map are related in  $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . To see what the relation is we introduce the *shift* map  $S : W(\tilde{K}) \rightarrow W(\tilde{K})$  defined by sending the vector  $(\alpha_0^p, \alpha_1^p, \dots)$  to  $(0, \alpha_0^p, \alpha_1^p, \dots)$ . We claim that  $\psi \circ S = p$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ \psi \circ S(\alpha_0^p, \alpha_1^p, \dots) &= \phi \circ \psi((0, \alpha_0^p, \alpha_1^p, \dots)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\alpha_i^p)^{p^{-(i+1)}} p^{i+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota(\alpha_i)^{p^{-i}} p^{i+1} = p\phi(a) = \phi(pa). \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] Serre, J.-P. *Local Fields* Springer, New York, 1979.