

# Symplectic Geometry Seminar Talk: Sobolev Embedding Theorem

Jared Marx-Kuo

Oct. 11th, 2019

## Things to talk about

### 1. Weak Derivatives

- (a) Preliminary definitions: for  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open, we define

$$D(U) := C_c^\infty(U, \mathbb{C}) = \{\text{smooth functions with compact support lying in } U\}$$

- (b)  $D(U)$  has a standard convergence topology, i.e.  $\{\varphi_k\} \xrightarrow{D(U)} \varphi \iff \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\partial^\alpha \varphi_k - \partial^\alpha \varphi\|_\infty = 0$ .
- (c)  $D'(U) := (D(U))^*$  is the space of distributions such that  $T \in D'(U)$  and  $\varphi \in D(U)$  then we denote  $T(\varphi)$  as  $\langle T, \varphi \rangle$  or  $(T, \varphi)$ .
- (d) **Remark:** the above notation arises because if we have any  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)$  then we have a natural distribution

$$T_f \text{ s.t. } \forall \varphi \in D(U), \quad T_f(\varphi) = \int_U f \varphi$$

which is well defined because remember that  $\varphi$  has compact support.

- (e) **Remark:** In some sense, integrating  $\varphi$  against an integrable function is the normal case. This is because  $\{T_\varphi\}_{\varphi \in D(U)}$  is dense in  $D'(U)$ , i.e. for any  $T \in D'(U)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{T_n\} = \{T_{f_n}\}$  such that  $f_n \in D(U)$  and

$$\forall \varphi \in D(U) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n, \varphi) = (T, \varphi)$$

One direct way to show this is  $T_n := T * \rho_n$  where  $\rho_n$  is a function which approaches  $\delta_0$  in the distributional sense

- (f) Ex: Consider

$$\delta_0 \in D'(U) \text{ s.t. } \delta_0(\varphi) = \varphi(0)$$

This is clearly linear. Boundedness follows, but we'd have to delve into the topology of  $C^\infty(U)$  which is some locally convex topology defined by the collection of semi-norms

$$\rho_{K,m} : C^\infty(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \rho_{K,m} \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ |\alpha| \leq m}} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)|$$

for  $K$  a compact subset of  $U$  and  $m \geq 0$ .

- (g) For now, I just note that  $T$  is bounded if there exists an  $m \geq 0$  such that

$$T : D(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ a linear operator is bounded } \iff \forall K \subseteq U \text{ compact} \\ \exists C_K \text{ s.t. } \forall \varphi \in D(U) \text{ s.t. } \text{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq K, \quad |T(\varphi)| \leq C_K \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ |\alpha| \leq m}} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)|$$

- (h) We can differentiate distributions to get  $\partial_j T$  by

$$(\partial_j T, \varphi) = -(T, \partial_j \varphi)$$

If we have that

$$(\partial_j T, \varphi) = -(T, \partial_j \varphi) = (g, \varphi)$$

for some  $g$  locally integrable, then we say that  $g$  is the weak derivative of  $T$

- (i) Here is a fun example which makes high school me happy. Let  $f(x) = \ln|x|$ , then  $f$  is locally integrable because its bounded outside of  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  but also you can integrate it on  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . The weak derivative is then

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx}f, \varphi\right) := -(\varphi', f) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi' \ln(|x|) dx$$

$\varphi$  has compact support so this is well defined. In particular, we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi' \ln(|x|) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \varphi' \ln(|x|) dx$$

Now we perform integration by parts

$$\int_{x > \epsilon} \varphi' \ln(|x|) dx = \varphi(x) \ln(|x|) \Big|_{\epsilon}^{\infty} - \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx$$

and

$$\int_{x < -\epsilon} \varphi' \ln(|x|) dx = \varphi(x) \ln(|x|) \Big|_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} - \int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx$$

Now note that  $\varphi$  has compact support so

$$\int_{|x| > \epsilon} \varphi' \ln(|x|) dx = \ln(\epsilon)[\varphi(\epsilon) - \varphi(-\epsilon)] - \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx$$

But now we write

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(0) + xg(x) \implies \varphi(\epsilon) - \varphi(-\epsilon) = \epsilon[g(\epsilon) - g(-\epsilon)]$$

for  $g$  smooth. But note that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \ln(\epsilon) = 0$ . And thus we have

$$(f', \varphi) = \text{P.I.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx$$

- (j) I think this is cool because when I was younger I saw stuff like

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\cos(x)}{x} dx$$

and I was like “EZ” it’s zero because its an odd function. But my teacher said its not defined because it’s not absolutely integrable. However, note that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)}{x} dx$$

and so this is all nice and well defined.

- (k) **Remark:** We can define weak derivatives in the context of higher order partial differential operators: suppose we have

$$L \Big|_x = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_{\alpha}(x) \partial^{\alpha}$$

for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  a multi-index, then we say that  $Lf = g$  **weakly** if

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(U), \quad \int_U g \varphi dx = \int_U f L^* \varphi dx$$

where  $L^*$  is the formal adjoint given by

$$L^* \varphi = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} (c_{\alpha}(x) \varphi)$$

2. Sobolev space  $\rightarrow$  What is this?

(a) Staying with  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define

$$W^{0,p}(U) = L^p(U) \quad W^{k,p} = \{f \mid \partial_i f \text{ exists and } \partial_i f \in W^{k-1,p}(U)\} = \{f \mid f \in L^p(U) \text{ and } \forall |\alpha| \leq k\}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_p$$

(b) Some nice properties of Sobolev spaces:

- i. Sobolev spaces are Banach spaces
- ii. They are reflexive for all  $k$  and  $1 < p < \infty$
- iii. For  $p = 2$ , they form a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \partial^\alpha u \cdot \partial^\alpha v \right) dx$$

iv.  $C_c^\infty(U)$  is dense in  $W^{k,p}(U)$  for all  $k$  and  $p$

(c) For example, define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ x & 0 < x < R \end{cases} \implies \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})$$

(d) Why do we care?

- i. **Definition:** Suppose  $(\Sigma, j)$  is a Riemann surface (complex manifold of dimension one) with almost complex structure  $j$  (hey look I know what that means) and  $(M, J)$  is an almost complex manifold with almost complex structure  $J$ . A smooth map  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  is **J-Holomorphic** if its differential at every point is complex-linear, i.e.

$$Tu \circ j = J \circ Tu$$

The above only makes sense if  $u \in C^1$ , or more generally when  $u \in W^{1,p}$  (apparently)

- ii. Often in symplectic geometry, we have these “J-holomorphic maps”  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  which might be smooth or might not. When we look at integrable maps, i.e. the complex structure arises from multiplication by  $i$  after pushing forward through the coordinate charts, we can choose a coordinate basis and get that  $J = i$  so that it is of course smooth.
- iii. In the non-integrable case, no such nice expression exists, and thus we have fewer smoothness assumptions on  $J$ . In this case, the a priori lack of regularity says that we need to work in Sobolev spaces to extract information about  $u$
- iv. Sobolev spaces also show up everywhere, and in particular, we can convert weak derivatives into actual regularity. The most notable result is the Sobolev embedding theorem

### 3. Sobolev Embedding Theorem

(a) **Definition:** A compact operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  sends bounded sets to precompact sets, i.e. for  $B \subseteq X$  s.t.  $\sup_{b \in B} \|b\| < R$  then  $\overline{T(B)}$  is compact. Equivalently we have that  $T$  sends bounded sequences to sequences with a weakly convergent subsequence.

(b) **Definition:** The **STRENGTH** of a sobolev space,  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , is defined to be

$$\sigma_N(k, p) = k - N/p$$

this value is a measure of how big a sobolev space is: the larger the **STRENGTH**, the more regular the functions are, and thus the space has fewer total functions.

(c) Strength is derived from a scaling argument, i.e. suppose that we want to bound

$$\|\partial^\alpha u(\lambda \cdot)\|_p \leq A \|\partial^\alpha u\|_p$$

for some scalar  $\lambda$ , then note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\alpha u(\lambda \cdot)\|_p &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\partial^\alpha u|^p \right)^{1/p} \implies \\ \|\partial^\alpha u(\lambda \cdot)\|_p &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\partial^\alpha u(\lambda \cdot)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = \left( \frac{1}{|\lambda|^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\lambda^{|\alpha|} (\partial^\alpha u)(\lambda \cdot)|^p |\lambda|^N dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( |\lambda|^{|\alpha|p-N} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} = |\lambda|^{|\alpha|-N/p} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_p \end{aligned}$$

For  $|\alpha| = k$ , this is exactly the strength. For some reason or another, mathematicians care about this top term in the sobolev norm, which is the quantity above.

(d) **Theorem:** (Sobolev Embedding) For  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\sigma_N(k,p) = \sigma_N(m,q) < 0 \quad \text{and } k > m$$

then

$$\iota : W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous, i.e.

$$\|f\|_{W^{m,q}} \leq C(N,k,m,p,q) \|f\|_{W^{k,p}}$$

**Proof:** (sketch) We prove a lemma

**Lemma 0.1.** For  $N \geq 2$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in L^{N-1}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$ . Then define

$$\xi^i = (x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$$

and

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N f_i(\xi^i)$$

then  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with

$$\|f\|_1 \leq \prod_{i=1}^N \|f_i\|_{N-1}$$

With the above lemma, we prove an inequality of the form

$$\|u\|_{N/(N-1)} \leq C \|du\|_1 \quad \text{s.t. } |du|^2 = |\partial_1 u|^2 + \dots + |\partial_N u|^2$$

for smooth functions of compact support. Then using density of smooth functions in  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we get our desired result for  $k = 1, p = 1, m = 0$ , and  $q = N/(N-1)$ .

To go from here to the general case of  $(k,p,m,q)$  is not entirely clear to me but I believe it uses an interpolation inequality of the form

(e) **Remark:** that most of these results can be extended  $W^{k,p}(U)$  for  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ , when  $U$  has a nice boundary, i.e. either  $C^1$  or lipschitz.

(f) **Corollary:** When  $k = 1$  and  $\ell = 0$ , we have that

$$W^{1,p}(U) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(U) \quad \text{s.t. } \frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$$

(g) **Theorem:** (Rellich-Kondrachov) For  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , if  $k > m$  and  $0 > \sigma_N(k,p) > \sigma_N(m,q)$ , then we have

$$\iota : W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is compact}$$

The inclusion  $W^{k,p}(U) \hookrightarrow W^{k-1,p}(U)$  is also compact. **which Dylan asked me to say**

Morally this should make sense because we have an extra derivative to peel off and get some equicontinuity bound so that we can Arzela-Ascoli this bad boy and get that our embedding is compact.

**Proof:** (sketch) We'll sketch for  $k = 1$  and hence  $m = 0$ , which forces

$$q < (p^{-1} - N^{-1})^{-1}$$

We first show that for

$$\tau_h : L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \tau_h(f)(x) = f(x+h)$$

we get the following bound

$$\|\tau_h u - u\|_{q, B_r} \leq C|h|^\alpha \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

remember, for  $k = 1$ . Then we use an interpolation equality for  $p^* = Np/(N-p)$  and  $\alpha$  such that

$$\frac{1}{q} = \alpha + \frac{1-\alpha}{p}$$

the inequality is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^q(B_R)} &\leq \|f\|_{L^1(B_R)}^\alpha \|f\|_{L^{p^*}(B_R)}^{1-\alpha} \\ \implies \|\tau_h u - u\|_q &\leq \|\tau_h u - u\|_1^\alpha \|f\|_{L^{p^*}}^{1-\alpha} \leq C|h|^\alpha \|u\|_{W^{1,p}} \end{aligned}$$

having used our first bound and one of the consequences of the Sobolev embedding theorem.

Now consider  $S = \{u_n\} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  a bounded sequence of smooth functions with support lying in  $B_R$ . We want to prove that it has a convergent subsequence in  $L^q$ .

The idea from here is that we form

$$S_\delta = \{u_\delta := \rho_\delta * u \mid u \in S\}$$

i.e. we convolve our functions by  $\rho_\delta$  where  $\rho$  is a bump function on  $B_1(0)$  and  $\rho_\delta = \frac{1}{\delta^N} \rho(x/\delta)$ , and then we show that for each  $\delta$ , we get a convergent subsequence, and then we take an appropriate diagonalizing subsubsequence.

From the sobolev embedding theorem and properties of convolutions, we can show that  $S_\delta$  is equicontinuous and pointwise bounded. By Arzela-Ascoli,  $S_\delta$  is precompact in  $C^0$  (i.e. has compact closure), and hence, we get

$$\forall \delta > 0 \quad \exists \{u_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \lim_{k, \ell \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_k} * \rho_\delta - u_{n_\ell} * \rho_\delta\| = 0$$

Now let  $\epsilon_k = 1/k$ . For  $\epsilon_i$  find a

It takes a bit of work to show, but for  $S$  bounded in  $W^{k,p}$ , the uniform bound tells us that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \exists \delta \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall u \in S, \quad \|u * \rho_r - u\|_{q, B_R} < \epsilon \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq \delta$$

i.e. we get  $\delta$  uniform in  $S$ . From here, let  $\epsilon_m = 1/m$ . For  $\epsilon_m$  find a  $\delta_m$  such that the above bound holds. Let  $\{u_{n_i,1}\}$  be the sequence of functions such that  $\{u_{n_i,1} * \rho_{\delta_1}\}$  is the convergent subsequence given by Arzela Ascoli. Set  $f_1 = u_{n_1,1}$ . Now find a subsequence of this, call it  $\{u_{n_i,2}\}$  such that  $\{u_{n_i,2} * \rho_{\delta_2}\}$  is convergent by Arzela Ascoli. Define  $f_2 = u_{n_2,2}$ , and repeat this to define  $f_m$ .

Now note that for  $j > m \geq N$ , we have

$$\|f_m - f_j\| \leq \|f_m - f_m * \rho_{\delta_N}\|_q + \|f_j - f_j * \rho_{\delta_N}\|_q + \|f_m * \rho_{\delta_N} - f_j * \rho_{\delta_N}\|_q$$

By our choice of indices, the first two terms are bounded above by  $1/N$  independent of  $j$  and  $m$ . And if we let  $m, j$  large while leaving  $N$  fixed, we know that the last term goes to 0 as  $f_m * \rho_{\delta_N}, f_j * \rho_{\delta_N} \rightarrow g_N$  for  $g_N$  continuous when  $N$  is fixed. Thus  $\{f_m\}$  is our cauchy sequence of functions in  $L^q$ .  $\square$

- (h) **Theorem:** (Also Rellich) If  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded open domain with smooth boundary and  $kp > n$ , then there are natural continuous inclusion  $W^{k+d,p}(U) \hookrightarrow C^d(U)$  for each integer  $d \geq 0$ . Moreover, these inclusions are compact!
- (i) Motivation: Let's prove this on  $(0,1)$ . Consider  $\{\varphi_n\}$  bounded in  $W^{1,p}((0,1))$ , then we want to show that some subsequence  $\{\varphi_{n_k}\}$  converges in  $L^p$ . To see this, first replace each  $\{\varphi_n\}$  with its continuous representative, i.e. we have

$$\varphi_n(x) = \tilde{\varphi}_n(x) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \tilde{\varphi}_n(x) = \int_0^x \varphi'_n(x) dx$$

To see that this is really equal to  $\varphi$  a.e., note that  $\varphi$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}$  have the same weak derivative (see p. 204-206 in Brezis). Then from the above, we have that

$$|\tilde{\varphi}_n(x) - \tilde{\varphi}_n(y)| \leq \int_x^y |\varphi'_n(x)| dx \leq \|\varphi'_n\|_p |x - y|^{1/q} \leq K|x - y|^{1/q}$$

where  $K \geq \sup_n \|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,p}}$ . Now by arzela ascoli, there exists a uniformly convergent subsequence and so  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_{n_k}\} \rightarrow \varphi_0(x)$  continuous.

#### 4. Elliptic Bootstrapping

- (a) Idea: Suppose we have  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $C^k$  operator, and we have a  $C^1$  solution to the ODE

$$\dot{x} = F(x)$$

we see that for  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $\dot{x} = F(x)$  is at least  $C^1$  as it is the composition of  $C^1$  functions. This implies that  $x = \int \dot{x}$  is actually  $C^2$ . If  $k \geq 2$  as well then  $x$  will be  $C^3$ .

- (b) In general, we can upgrade our initial regularity of  $x$  being  $C^1$  up to  $C^{k+1}$  regularity. This process of upgrading is known as “bootstrapping,” which comes from the expression “lifting yourself up by your own bootstraps”
- (c) **Definition:** a linear differential operator  $L$  of order  $m$  on a domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  to be of the form

$$Lu = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha u$$

This operator is **elliptic** if we have that

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall 0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha \neq 0$$

where we have  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , and  $\xi^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^n \xi_i^{\alpha_i}$ .

- (d) We denote the principal symbol,  $\sigma_m^L$  is given by all the order  $m$  terms in the operator and operates on  $n$ -tuples

$$\sigma_m^L(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha$$

Ellipticity then amounts to the principle symbol,  $\sigma_m^L(x, \cdot)$  being non-zero away from 0

- (e) **Theorem:** (Powerful due to Morrey) Let  $L$  be an elliptic operator of order  $m$  of the above form. Then for every  $k \geq 0$ , there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{m+k,p}(B_r)} \leq K(r) (\|Lu\|_{W^{k,p}(r)} + \|u\|_{L^p(B_r)})$$

where  $K(r)$  is a constant depending on  $r$  and our elliptic operator

- (f) Ex: Consider the Laplacian

$$\Delta = - \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i^2 \implies \sigma_2^\Delta(\xi) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 = -|\xi|^2$$

so  $\Delta$  is elliptic. In particular, let's say we have a harmonic function, i.e. one that satisfies  $\Delta u = 0$ . Then if  $u \in L^p$ , we have that  $u$  is smooth, as

$$\|u\|_{W^{2+k,p}(B_r)} \leq K(r) (\|Lu\|_{W^{k,p}(r)} + \|u\|_{L^p(B_r)}) \leq K(r) \|u\|_{L^p(B_r)}$$

Taking  $k$  arbitrarily large and using the sobolev embedding theorem, we can get infinite regularity of  $u$ , i.e.  $u$  is smooth!

- (g) Cor: For  $\Delta : W^{3,p} \rightarrow W^{1,p}$  then  $\ker(\Delta)$  is finite dimensional. From the above, we have that

$$\Delta u = 0 \implies \|u\|_{W^{3,p}} \leq C \|u\|_p$$

so if we consider a bounded sequence  $\{u_n\} \subseteq \ker(\Delta)$ , then we know that  $B_{W^{3,p}} \hookrightarrow W^{2,p}$  compactly, and so there exists a convergent subsequence in  $W^{2,p}$ . In particular, we get that  $\|u_{n_k} - u_{n_\ell}\|_p \rightarrow 0$ , and by the above bound, this tells us that  $\|u_{n_k} - u_{n_\ell}\|_{W^{3,p}} \rightarrow 0$ . Thus the unit ball in  $\ker(\Delta)$  is compact, so it must be finite dimensional